

## Additional

In the latest round of My striving to be constructive and charitably giving about half an ear to the apostate system while it's still manifestly on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, they at least appear to have been non-overtly hyping what they have, at least in part, to indicate their need for some kind of distinction criteria I'd make between non-overt organizing which is fine versus what's *'illegitimate resistance'*.

It's of course anyone's guess whether or not they sincerely mean that either, given the counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis on which they manifestly remain. From that basis, anything and everything becomes effectively arbitrary. But it just won't do to have an organization remaining on said basis, and on the off chance they're trying to get things sorted it'd be pretty non-constructive if I were to intentionally obstruct their efforts, at least while the existence of those efforts seems remotely likely. Sure it's unjust; My providing unpaid *pro bono* outside counsel or advice while they provide ongoing violations of My Divinely-conferred rights hardly seems a fair transaction. But I am striving to be as constructive as I can here, and I'm recognizing My Duty to Divine Will not to obstruct anyone's efforts to realign with it if there's a reasonable likelihood that's the Choice they're trying to make.

Were it Me, what criteria would I likely apply to those

situations? Just off the cuff, mostly very basic Divine Will principles and Common Law-derived stuff. Those are systems they either know or with which they should have gained familiarity with by now; I have advised them to do so already.

First off, the easiest and most expedient thing to evaluate would probably be **the numbers involved**. Presumably nobody's going to be 'taking over the world' nor indeed the apostate system with a team of twenty or less individuals non-overtly organizing together. That criteria alone would presumably eliminate the vast majority of non-overtly organized romantic relationships, etc. from showing up as false positives on the figurative radar, though of course there's always the odd non-overtly organized more local conspiracy, for which routing the matter to overt law enforcement would presumably comprise 'best practices'. Anything larger than twenty or so, and you're more likely encountering some cult, gang, magic-using sect, government or corporate or conventional organized crime unit, or perhaps some extended romantic polycule or Dom-sub congregation of catamites or the like. If the apostate system gets itself manifestly sorted out there's presumably not going to be so much of those last examples because it'd been the apostate system which had been driving otherwise victimless unconventional romantic relationships underground all along, which had been necessitating organizing them non-overtly due to the suppression.

Up next, whether or not they're **violating anyones' Divinely-conferred rights**. Without that, there's no 'crime'. Attempted subversion of the apostate system would in theory qualify, but if and only if the apostate system wasn't apostate and was itself on a Divine Will-aligned basis. In manifest practice that is, rather than merely the rhetoric it tends to propagate via its internal PR campaign.

Parallel with that, whether the effort appears to be **presenting on a counter-Divine Will basis**. That's either via non-overt organizing symbolism, or more tangibly via its overt behavior and agenda items. If it is, non-overt instruction could resolve the situation. If that's rejected, then it's presumably been established that they're knowingly, Willfully and avoidably on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, which makes their position invalid and their **holdings** forfeit.

I'd also suggest taking into consideration **how much non-overt overlap** is present between the lingo deployed by the 'independents' and that deployed by the apostate system. And not just basic terms; I'd likely assess for non-overt grammar and syntax and usage too. Are the 'independents' even aware of the apostate system as such? If they're not, they're presumably not going to be much of a **threat factor** are they. Then again we're trying to evaluate for a context in which the apostate system has 'gone legit', meaning that it presumably wouldn't be practicing non-overtly unless it were under some kind of 'duress'. That context is so disparate from what we've manifestly got right now that I'm not sure I'm equipped to

assess it accurately here and now.

Speaking of overlap, the organization would presumably also want to take into consideration what **their objectives are**, by which I mean those of the 'independent' effort. If there's no inherent conflict there would presumably be a lack of jurisdiction, so to speak. But if for example there were a non-overtly organized sociopolitical activism campaign which sought to affect some tangential aspect of the apostate system's agendas, that could perhaps be cause for concern. The 'independent' effort could be doing so with right and just cause and intentions, or not. It could be aware of the apostate system's involvement in the whatever it is, or not. How I'd advise it to handle those kinds of situations would be dependent on things like that, and as such would probably be determined on a more granular, case by case basis.

Which presumably wouldn't be so centrally-organized in any event, since a Divine Will-aligned version of the apostate system likely wouldn't be so totalitarian nor pyramidally-shaped in terms of its authority structure. More regional, localized distributed network parallel processing becomes feasible when personnel are Divine Will-aligned and competent throughout. And that would result in the equivalent of Common Law jury pools. Members are selected literally at random, and are convened into juries no longer than about half a year in order to prevent them from becoming *de facto* government apparatuses. They're then tasked with establishing

their 'findings' on a specific 'case', consistent with the principles of the Law (overtly Common Law, and presumably here, that and Divine Will principles). In fact Common Law allows for juries to decide whether there's enough reasonable suspicion to authorize a 'warrant' for enough research and investigation into a concern that anything at all can be assessed, even just to make sure that everything going on there is legitimate.

If it's found manifestly not to be, the jury can then present their verdict or direct the local Sheriff to implement a more comprehensive action plan on it. In the kinds of contexts for which I'm evaluating, that could even be more 'gentle' methods like opening up non-overt communication or teaching channels with the 'independents', to try and 'put them right' on the matter, or even just to ensure that they're making some wrongful Choice knowingly, Willfully and avoidably, so that evidence can be returned to the jury to equip them in finding a verdict. And in that, various non-overt techniques I've been occasionally alluding to as 'advanced methods' and strategies could be authorized; even the current version of the apostate system and 'its' various franchises are full of them, I needn't detail them here.

These are just the major items which occur to Me on the subject at present, while I consider and strive to evaluate the topic individually. There could indeed be other relevant items to be added later. In terms of constructively addressing this sort of thing, the current manifest situation has Me at

something of a disadvantage. This is the sort of thing I'd prefer to evaluate via some kind of a collaborative effort, perhaps something between a business conference and a traditional 'house party' among cleared, trusted and competent individuals and literal friends and companions. But while the organization manifestly remains on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis the figurative list of things I wouldn't, and per Divine Will principles can't, trust the organization and 'its' personnel with is seemingly endless. Trying to attend to this kind of thing isn't entirely unlike trying to determine how I'd remodel a house when its foundation is made of [sand]. Unfeasible, impractical and hardly worthwhile. The fundamentals manifestly aren't present yet.

Speaking of, it will presumably interest the apostate system to notice that it manages to 'flub' all or nearly all of the above criteria itself. If one is evaluating for non-overtly active efforts which have 'gone rogue', the apostate system would have to be among the very first human organizations among them. And this is indeed how, and why, I'd categorized what I had only known of as 'the globalists' back when it had become overtly rather appallingly evident that *something* was causing the extent of the overt corruption I'd been noticing all around us. This is why even overt law recognizes an additional criteria known as the ['Clean Hands doctrine'](#). That is, while someone is being lawless and not *'in good faith'*, or had been, in a matter they can hardly expect to take it up in law themselves and find that the law sympathizes with them. They've already

forfeited their position and have, in law, no legitimate interest in the matter. They've *'got no case'* pretty much by definition, as the result of their own Choices, and as I've learned more about Divine Will principles and the manifest nature of the apostate system My original assessment there has only been proven out by the overwhelming abundance of evidence on it. The joy of *'getting to be right'* about that is rather cold comfort under the manifest circumstances.

Even the basis on which they've been investing their efforts into a pattern of implication to Me about needing clarification isn't legitimate: a non-overt, professionally-oriented, counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature, '[cha[redacted]s] mode' basis isn't valid. Alright, so I provide attempted counsel of Law on a basis which is: open, plain language, public, direct, and motivated by a Duty to Divine Will. Quite a disparity of positions, priorities and patterns of behavior there. It's also publicly-accessible in part to neatly avoid accusations of fav[redacted]ritism, given that the manifest basis of the apostate system and that of the 'independents' and 'resistance groups' they tend to be concerned about are, or are assessed as being, on an illegitimate, counter-Divine Will basis. The basis on which the apostate system has manifestly been op[redacted]rating and on which it still continues to, has become so very figuratively s[redacted]ptic that clinical s[redacted]psis tends to result: not much of a compelling argument for 'keeping it safe from resistance efforts against it' can be made, because for all intents and purposes the apostate system is neither better nor functionally distinguishable from

those other efforts. It appears to've been assumed to be so internally among 'its own' personnel, but that appears to have been a matter of the organization's own baseless non-overt hype and rhetoric.

I'm manifestly left without a basis to even establish if their non-overtly implied pattern of requesting clarity and counsel of Law is even sincere and authentic. That's a little unfair; as the only person here with a valid and legitimate basis it'd hardly be right for their hypothecated basis to be able to figuratively 'saddle Me' with a lot of contrived makework.

I'm even manifestly left without the ability to establish with any certainty that what they're currently striving to do is to sincerely realign with Divine Will and True Nature. The organization has been making efforts to present the appearance of having to take so long about it because they're so preoccupied with sorting out internal personnel defiance among the ranks in order to secure personnel loyalty; they've had personnel control for ages now so that implication doesn't withstand scrutiny. Of even more concern to Me is how consistently their manifest pattern of behavior appears to be quite consistent with My current 'working theory' about the organization's manifest nature and counter-Divine Will basis: specifically, that of a human amplifier system for the agendas of 'spirit entities' who are themselves manifestly predicated on such a basis. If this is manifestly the case, then all manner of delays and rationalizations for continued atrocities has just



been standard operating procedure, and the objectives would be to either gain My buy-in to a counter-Divine Will basis too, or instead to manufacture some kind of ‘credibility restore’ exercise in order to at least regain My trust, while discreetly remaining on the same basis.

And they appear to be aware of My concern there, too. They seem to have referenced it, and this is presented exclusively as evidence, [here](#). Indeed much of the content in his two albums appears to be consistent with the pattern, and regardless his organizing symbolism makes it plain that he’s on a counter-Divine Will basis as does that of all the apostate system personnel I’ve manifestly encountered, once one has become familiar with it. This could also partially account for the pattern I’ve been seeing of apostate system personnel alliterating both the second letter of the alphabet, and the nineteenth, which seems colloquially appropriate in a sense. At any rate alliterating the second appears to be a reference to the insect and references offering a counter-Divine Will basis for acceptance by making it as desirable as they can manage. The nineteenth I’ve seen before, and its lower-layer usage as a Knights of Malta ‘gang sign’ aside, it appears to be yet another signal the organization’s personnel use to declare that they’re aligned with a counter-Divine Will basis. It could also be consistent with the [\[banana\]](#) symbolic trope they’d been [propagating](#) ever since, ah there it is, near the end of last year, when they were presumably non-overtly organizing for what they’d be implementing throughout this one. I’ve also

encountered the trend in the form of a [croissant], and either why it's [food] + a counter-Divine Will symbol. And indeed, their visual symbol for [the Mission], 'the womb' of the organization's feminine subdivision. That is, so-called [Isis], that contingent of 'the telepaths and propheciers' I keep going on about. The organizing symbolism translation as used to direct the rank and file personnel then would appear to be: *'Let's use the telepaths and propheciers to try to get Him to accept a counter-Divine Will basis! Or try to get Him to accept them on said basis, as that amounts to the same thing.'*

And *about that.*

Applying My current 'working theory' regarding the apostate system presents an interesting and rather concerning potential definition for their [chaos] symbol. Mythologically it seems to refer to that primordial state of Creation 'before there were gods'; that is, prior to there having been human authority figures within the organization. Alright, so applying common sense and basic reasoning here, what in that event would the apostate system have been prior to there having been human authority figures present? 'Spirit entity' authority figures, attempting to entice humans into complicity with the scheme in order to use it as a human amplifier system for their various counter-Divine Will-predicated agendas. So that apparent interpretation would appear to be quite consistent, and to some extent corroborate that 'working theory'. Rather than their resolving that concern, the available evidence does seem

to be ‘making the case’ for it. In such a context, that [chaos] symbol would perhaps appear to be a ‘mode’ in which what is non-overtly said doesn’t *lack* intended meaning, but instead reference a shift in context to specifically that ‘layoff’ of the organization’s activities, in which they attempt to emplace a perceived primary human authority figure into office on a counter-Divine Will basis which’d pretty much guarantee their permanent complicity. And in apparent support of this pattern, I’ve also been encountering patterns of non-overt implication prominently involving [funerals] (with [katsune] symbolism added in) and [pregnancy]. The former would appear to refer to efforts to put Me onto a counter-Divine Will basis, and the latter to their [female] ‘telepaths and propheciers’ subdivision Choosing its next [Horror] candidate. Meanwhile, the lack of certainty about which specific definition of the [chaos] symbol the organization in fact is using results in a sort of ‘double-meaning’ or [twin] (in its more usual, non-[katsune] sense) or [palindrome] situation, which is wrong for them to leave unresolved, so per My Duty to Divine Will I’ve got to present the cause for concern plainly and openly here.

Also not particularly encouraging is the pattern of apparent implication I’ve been seeing about *‘If it’s counter-Divine Will, just ignore it. Not to do so would mean you’re buying into the reality of a counter-Divine Will basis, which’d mean you’d fall short as a human authority figure.’* If that ‘working theory’ were in fact accurate, that would certainly be a deft strategy for blame-shifting. It’s just basic common sense that per Divine

Will principles I've got a Duty to address apparent manifest problems like that, because the alternative would presumably be to allow them to remain and for a counter-Divine Will basis to continue operating 'within the margins' so to speak, impervious to get addressed and sorted out because nobody was 'allowed' to confront them. And that would, as far as I'm able to determine, be a lapse of Duty to Divine Will on My own part regardless of My status within or outside of the organization.

But per the Gnostic ideologies the organization has, interestingly, been manifestly perpetuating for thousands of years, knowing that a counter-Divine Will basis is by definition inherently unreal is just part and parcel of being 'enlightened'. How would someone reconcile that with the basic Duty per Divine Will to confront these kinds of concerns and apparent ambiguities? Quite easily, as it happens. Applying Divine Will principles to a situation tends to have that effect. It's precisely *because* a counter-Divine Will basis is so unreal in absolute terms, so hypothecated away from the True and Legitimate State of Creation, that it's objectionable in the extreme. It's literally abhorrent to everything legitimate in Creation, and represents degrees of dissociation away from not only Divine Will but True Nature both. That is, to adopt a counter-Divine Will basis is to still be "fron[te]ring", like a [ma]sk, and per Divine Will principles I won't and can't [we]ar it] either personally or in the form of a franchise predicated on such a basis. And yet that's manifestly still what's being presented to

Me: symbolic and figurative [d] [f]. How unworthy. To blithely accept that kind of a situation would presumably be to accept a counter-Divine Will basis, to “countenance” it, and that I refuse to do. We appear to manifestly have the organization routinely deploying Gnostic ideological sophistry regarding Divine Will principles in order to attempt to rationalize a counter-Divine Will basis, and in that to rationalize the unconscionable, the indefensible, and the [absurd]. And to do that by definition is an [absurd] manifest position on their part. After all, there’s certainly no reason for it: it’s inconsistent with both Divine Will and with their own True Nature. So there is therefore no known reason why they should be trying My figurative patience by doing it, and it’s unworthy to present to Me or to anyone else. When or if they Choose to get onto a legitimate, Divine Will-aligned basis they’ll by definition have to find some valid means of resolving that pattern and the concerns which quite naturally result from it; until they do, they manifestly and self-evidently remain on an invalid basis. That’s ‘on them’, and all the implied cajoling and figurative ‘peer pressure’ in the world doesn’t shift the onus for it onto Me.

They supposedly wanted Me to affirm and uphold Divine Will, and here I am doing it pretty blatantly. If the ‘frustrating’, counter-Divine Will basis they’re on finds that somewhat inconvenient for agendas they don’t actually, genuinely desire in the first place, I’m fine with that. If something is perturbed by clear and sincere assertion of Divine Will, it probably deserves to be perturbed by it. I’m more than fine with that,

I'm personally and professionally delighted by it. If they're going to adopt the position that anything on a counter-Divine Will basis is illegitimate and unreal, My suggestion would of course be for them not to adopt a counter-Divine Will basis in opposition to Divine Will and My Will. That's rather plain common sense, and doing so would appear to sort the figurative impasse out there by resolving its manifest cause.

## Reinventing [Is's]

The role, purpose and nature of the organization's [Is's] subdivision appears in need of revisioning if the organization as a whole is going to align itself with a Divine Will-consistent basis. Rather than using non-overt organizing symbolism and [fixblight] behavioral patterns of abuse to be what appears consistently treacherous to both the incumbent human authority figure and their successors, and what appears to be a counter-Divine Will basis all the while (despite tending towards a 'genteel' and 'beautiful' presentation of it), I would suppose it would likely want to adapt to organizing the teaching of humanity as a whole Divine Will principles, organizing the juries and investigations I'd mentioned, harmonizing 'independent' efforts with those of the organization, and facilitating the harmonious interaction of local and regional chapters with the organization as a whole, as well as facilitating the worthy, Divine Will-aligned efforts of both internal personnel and

external 'independents' to promotion within the organization. When or if the organization ever manifestly and sincerely Chooses a legitimate, Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis I'd be quite interested to discuss these concepts with them. At present, if this were a stereotypical hardboiled 'detective noir' novel, [Isis] would manifestly be the stereotypical alluring dame who's just walked into My office with a case, and who has trouble written all over her. The situation is eased somewhat by the fact that this protagonist isn't of the stereotypical orientation, which makes the scene more of a comedic romp than anything.

How these concept revisions could be effectively implemented would presumably depend on what capabilities the department still had available to it. If the 'working theory' regarding 'their' telepathic and prophetic capabilities being underwritten by a counter-Divine Will basis is accurate, presumably it would either have to jettison them or have their underwriters shift onto a Divine Will-aligned basis. Yes, that's about as tall an expectation as having Christianity's primary antagonist figure Choose Divine Will (to everybody's benefit), yet as the apostate system's Gnosticism and version of Divine Will principles recognizes pretty clearly: there's no reason why it shouldn't and every reason in Creation why it should. Just makes sense to abandon a position when there's never been a sincere motive for retaining it. Anything less would be, and manifestly is, quite [absurd] indeed.

I'm trying to be, per Divine Will and True Nature, constructive and benevolent here, and to use My literal time which they're otherwise just wasting in order to facilitate a Choice, if it manifestly exists, to realign with a valid and legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. So much of the details are dependent upon the foundational stuff which still manifestly isn't in place yet, so there's not a whole lot I'm manifestly in a position to do on that here with them, yet. And while they're still manifestly not on a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis, I know I also have a Duty to Divine Will and to Myself to be cautious with letting them 'lead the conversation' via all manner of non-overt implications and ideologies, let alone what could easily be merely unnecessary makework. That would hardly be fair to Me, and could theoretically become [risky] to humanity as a whole, to tarry needlessly with an organization which is manifestly still on a counter-Divine Will basis. The apostate system and particularly the telepaths and propheciers contingent have manifestly shown themselves to be quite persuasive and convincing to Me; at least that experience helps inure Me to a lot of their likely similar efforts in the here and now.

- [June the seventh, 2023]

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:



Source: DivineWillAssembly.com

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Discord](#) (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

My exposure sites:

[Divine Will Assembly](#)

[Lexicon](#)