

Whatever else the [Is] crowd manifestly are and do, their capabilities of questionable origin make them outstanding at 'exposition theater'. That is, they're great at implying messaging no matter how complicated, both via organizing symbolism in 'current events' and retroactively in whatever media I happen to watch. As such they've manifestly been investing in efforts to elaborate on an implied pattern, a concept, until I couldn't help but 'get it'.

'Of course' it's still manifestly on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature, but that is manifestly the basis they and the organization as a whole is still on. So 'of course' both the organization's activities as well as the means of messaging is more a sequence of wrongdoing, figuratively *'talking out of both sides of their mouths'*, implying concepts via rather disturbing alternate possible interpretations, and in this specific instance needlessly complicating at least one of My interpersonal relationships. I've been presenting in these pieces media which, as I'd explicitly and clearly stated, was being presented wholly and exclusively for the purposes of evidence. To the best of My literal conscious knowledge at least; there could have been some amount of literal subconscious influence present given that I've been missing, particularly recently, someone I care about. But we appear to have a situation in which the telepaths and propheciers took it upon themselves to retroactively embellish those items of evidence with non-overtly implied messaging I hadn't intended. If you're someone I know and this seems applicable to you, I encourage you to disregard any non-overt interpretations to

you I'd appeared to make recently, and I must apologize for the interference manifestly caused by the telepaths and propheciers in that without My knowledge, approval, request, etc. While they're still manifestly on this counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature jigg, it appears they still consider just about everything 'fair game' when they've got some agenda to implement. Even when it encroaches against Divinely-conferred rights. It's true, I've been actively looking both for talent and potential common interest in getting the apostate system restored to a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis using legitimate means, and I've been doing that all along. That could have been literally subconsciously influencing My Choice of media to scan and evaluate. Even if so, I think that's perfectly understandable and what's more, aboveboard.

On the plus side, they've used that and quite a few other encroachments to elaborate on what particular inherently non-credible thing they're on about at the moment. Here's an approximate translation.

'We're currently non-overtly freaking the rank and file personnel out about whether or not you're still Divine Will-integrous, and whether we plan to replace you with somebody else. But never mind that; as the [Isis] subdivision we're announcing our usual role and purpose to You. Eventually all the [Osiris] authority figures within the organization just plain veer off onto a tyrannical, counter-Divine Will basis. Who knew? It's the darnedest thing, just keeps happening too. And when that happens, it's our job to determine when that's happened, and

then organize an effort to replace them with some new [Horu's] person we've selected and trained in Divine Will principles, if only they'll uphold them. And to do all that we use not only the psionic capabilities and encroachment we've made known to you, but we also organize non-overtly by co-opting the 'interpersonal romantic relationship' 'level' of symbolism for the purpose. Informal, illegitimate, definitely outside the bounds of proper usage, and of course all on a counter-Divine Will basis. Yet there's a useful expediency there! Because we do it all from a counter-Divine Will basis, which per Divine Will principles is widely recognized as being metaphysically and cosmologically invalid, we're showing all involved that what we're doing there is no threat to any [Osiris] authority figure who's retaining their alignment with Divine Will like they should, and that they can just safely disregard all of that stuff because it's not sincerely meant. As you know, a counter-Divine Will basis is as invalid, arbitrary and effectively meaningless as our "[chaos] mode" is. Don't you see, in your previous efforts to non-overtly mentor others in Divine Will principles you'd pretty much reinvented the same thing our subdivision does! We're the same in that, you and me! Therefore, doesn't our doing that look right to you? Surely you have no cause for complaint about it, given that it's an implementation of the same methods and standards that you uphold. And we're dissatisfied with a counter-Divine Will predicated organization just as you are; we do all that we do precisely because we can't allow that to continue. That would obviously be greatly sub-optimal for all involved.'

Before I get into an evaluation on that, let's take a moment to hear the prosecution's version, that is, the 'working theory' version per what the evidence I've encountered amounts to, and the accusations presented from the Bible itself. Again, paraphrasing:

'The apostate system has its power gifted to it by the principal 'spirit entity' representative of the counter-Divine Will basis. [Revelations 12:9, 13:2, 13:4 KJV] As such both its [Osiris] and its [Isis] subdivisions are themselves franchises of that principal, whatever they unreliably claim or imply to the contrary, and they're not to be trusted. They're as beholden to it as overt governments are to the central banking systems on which they rely for their sustenance. This amply debunks the implied claims of the [Isis] subdivision that it exists as a sort of fallback solution to ensure that whoever's in the [Osiris] position either remains genuinely Divine Will-aligned or gets replaced; both the overt and the non-overt activities of the apostate system manifestly and abundantly establish that it's never been on a Divine Will-aligned basis, and this evidence only compounds daily along with the inherent liability for same. Therefore, the implied claim of [Isis] on this matter is merely an expedient untruth, a sort of confidence-building measure to mitigate internal dissent and retain personnel, a 'credibility restoration psyop'. When things get noticeably awful enough, 'she'll' just emplace a newly-trained [Horus] candidate into the office as a sort of superficial 'regime change' or 'color revolution', just as the organization has manifestly proven itself to effect quite willingly on an overt basis

with governments. For example, their 'French Revolution' public fraud, or the 'niggerous Deep State' 'limited exposure' fraud they've been working even now. Until they're ready to replace their [Osiris] with [Horus] it keeps the personnel occupied with busywork training him, gives them some hope for improvement, and makes sure they've got someone who's genuinely Choosing a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis knowingly, Willfully and deliberately. Once they've installed him into office they can symbolically [murder] him at their leisure, that is, work cooperatively to entice him onto a counter-Divine Will basis through this or that means. Their version as implied is merely the alibi, the pretext for same under the guise of ensuring legitimacy of whoever's in office. The general public are systematically deceived by the apostate system personnel implementing the organization's various agendas, those personnel are systematically deceived by this ruse which is only the Divine and their [Isis] and [Osiris] 'in crowd' are 'in on', and so it's just been manifestly allowed to perpetuate for the last few thousand years without being adjudicated, because as usual everyone's either caught up in their respective tizzies or are knowingly-collusive 'sellouts' to a counter-Divine Will basis.'

I know which of those appears to best account for the manifest evidence I've encountered throughout. It would also explain why they've manifestly been quite Willing to blithely continue systematically encroaching against My own Divinely-conferred rights through all this in particular, in addition to the Divine rights violations *en masse* that the organization manifestly and

routinely violates. It would definitely account for why 'their' telepaths and propheciers manifestly 'misjudged' My Chosen basis for more than a decade, despite knowing full well its legitimate basis as they were systematically prying into My very thoughts throughout, to their liability and chagrin. Their implied version does not account for those things. And by the very Divine Will principles they'd taught to Me, those manifestly on a counter-Divine Will basis must by definition not be trusted, nor is there any rationalizing or explaining away a Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis made knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. So their implied version doesn't even 'add up' per *their own* premise and context.

The overt equivalent appears to be pretty much like their 'punk scene'. You know, that purportedly 'anti-Establishment' sociopolitical nexus which instead is chock full of Roman and Babylonian organizing symbolism, and appears to exist to systematically degrade the moral standing of its participants until they can't be taken seriously by the rest of society and are regarded to present and advocate little or no actual sociopolitical improvement. Where they're worn down with drug abuse, needless interpersonal strife, injuries and worse from taking needless physical risks, and are encouraged to place themselves in positions of unearned and undeserved trust from the Roman and Babylonian personnel they can only regard as their compatriots. A symbolic [death] [trap], in other words. And we see pretty much the same thing with the more recent sociopolitical zealotry coming out of the apostate

system's contrived 'hyperliberal' contingent, courtesy of 'their' organized crime franchise. It appears to be standard operating procedure for the organization, and it's manifestly proven itself to be quite willing to deploy such methods. I'm not aware of any substantive reason to infer that they're not being deployed here as well.

The apostate system had been implying quite a bit with Me recently about the matter of 'trust'. Although they apparently don't share etymological origins, 'trust' necessarily has as its prerequisite 'truth'. And there is no reliable guarantee of truth from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, essentially by definition and the nature of such a basis. And in the international news, even the apostate system have manifestly been non-overtly admitting or at least implying for years now that they don't have a trustworthy non-overt basis which can be relied upon; "a lack of [clean] or [pure] [water]". Just so. A manifestly invalid basis not just overtly but non-overtly as well ought to by rights shut them down immediately; it's unjustifiable, particularly for an organization which likes to imply the claim that its *raison d'être* is to uphold and promote Divine Will. Instead we manifestly see it blithely continuing on regardless, continuing to rack up the liability, and this presents as quite a blatant illustration of its actual manifest organizational priorities quite despite the rhetoric.

Then there's the logistical [absurdity] of the formula implied by their version. As with the overt sociopolitical 'reform' fallacies they routinely produce, *'We're so dissatisfied with an*

illegitimate basis that we're going to sort that out! From an illegitimate basis! S■y what? That's about like repairing a fine p■cketw■tch with an oversized [cartoon] mall■t. One doesn't sort out lawlessness with *more* lawlessness; a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis with more of the same. That's just rationalizing more of the same, which is only desirable for people who manifestly *want* more of the same.

As I've by now been conditioned to figuratively 'get a h■ndle' on concepts by equating them with applicable media instances I've encountered, this [Is■s]-[Osir■s] formula would seem to be rather accurately summarized by the [cartoon] 'Insp■ctor Gadg■t', only here the r■les of [Is■s] as [P■nny] and those counter-Divine Will 'spir■t entities' as the [d■g], the actual 'Brain's of the op■ration, would be on an 'inverted' basis where instead of genuinely trying to assist him they're discreetly trying to sab■tage and [k■ll] him. In fact if that wasn't the non-overt purpose for the thing in the purpose, I'm at a loss to determine what it was. Then we've got the incorporation of [t■chnology], for some franchises equivalent with the [drag■n] symbol, into him, with an 'inversion' of its own inherent in the symbol. I'm presenting this entirely and exclusively as evidence of course, and we'll see that organizing symbolism *trope* corroborated in another more recent media instance in a moment. Incidentally, for those foll■wing up on the symbolism research I've not seen the live-action m■vies, because it's symbolically by definition a [cartoon] by the symbolism My "House" uses or used.

The pattern also appears to be consistently represented in

media via the character [‘Sir Humphrey Appleby’](#) in the series, ‘Yes, Minister’ and its followup, ‘Yes, Prime Minister’, presented here also exclusively for the purposes of evidence. It had always seemed curious to Me that not only does the character represent the Judas-like ‘civil servant’ who’s about maintaining the *status quo* and about quite deliberately co-conspiring with his cohorts to retain control over the governmental apparatus regardless of who’s installed into office, but that they always made sure to make him readable on a more abstract and metaphorical basis to represent the temptation and manipulation provided by someone representing a counter-Divine Will basis to the human consciousness of the average person. Curious, that. Rather suspicious that the apostate system would make great efforts to present those both consistently, let alone to intentionally and systematically associate the one with the other.

Also presented exclusively for evidence, the apostate system’s more recent organizing symbolism on what appears to be their manifest efforts with regard to Myself. I found it within a major new game that’s been released quite recently, specifically ‘*The Legend of Zelda: Tears of the Kingdom*’. As usual for the franchise, the logo involves the [Tiamat] [wavy line] wrapped around the [straight line] [pillar] symbol, here represented by a [sword]. This one also incorporates a [rotting] (‘effort in process’) [zero], [circle] or [orbos], [green] in color. Plenty of instances of the [single eye] referencing the [Isis] [Osiris] [Horus] trid, and we get [consistent depictions](#) of our hero representing [Horus] [toching] a [one-handed] symbol,

with that [single eye], [in container] of a [circle]. Additionally, together with the [crescent moon]-shaped pedestal it also comprises the [Sun-Moon] symbol the [Babyl-Hadad], symbol of [Babyl] worship for thousands of years. The [circle] is in the [air] with a lot of [Tiamat] [wavy lines] around it, and placed [between two pillars]. That last appears to be a symbol referencing what the Babylonian Kabbalist organization has decided is its agenda or position regarding a given matter. The deployment here appears to be a sort of [Babylonian] [Asian] [French] deployment, with their respective connotations neatly 'stuck' onto one another. Rough translation: *'We're promoting an effort to get [Horns] to [touch] a counter-Divine Will basis.'* That is, to accept symbolic [death]. [Immediately afterwards](#), a conspicuously [hexagonal] [window] moves and a [container] opens revealing a [statue] of an ancestral [woman] and a half-[dragon] with [hands joined], a Babylonian Kabbalist symbol of commitment to an agenda or directive via their [Fids]. Their subsequent wording is loaded with symbolism, particularly if we're interpreting [Light] as yet another instance of their counter-Divine Will [Babyl] with merely a supposedly more benevolent, 'nicer' context, attempting to pass itself off as Divine Will-aligned when instead representing a counter-Divine Will basis. If so, we'd then have: counter-Divine Will basis, [evil] as yet another instance of it, [cleansing] and [strength], along with the idea of a counter-Divine Will basis 'granting' benefits, considerations, favors, clout, etc.. Interestingly that's depicted as 'removing' corruption which per the game has diminished the capacities of our hero, illustrated by even more of [Tiamat]'s [wavy

lines], but of course we know that the Babylonian Kabbalist symbolic lingo merely presents desired ambitions of negative things in the more socially-acceptable context of ‘removing’, ‘eliminating’, ‘dispelling’, ‘preventing’ or ‘vanquishing’ them. The game opens with its [Isis] proxy leading its [Horus] placeholder [downward] into [darkness], and much of the game’s lore establishes that their ‘royalty’ derives from an interbreeding with [dragons]. That is, with a counter-Divine Will basis. This implied association is particularly intensified with regard to its [Isis] placeholder, to the extent that symbolically they’re effectively synonymous. Our [Horus] placeholder is also left [one handed], his other having been replaced by an integration of [technology] as with ‘Inspector Gadget’; this would appear to be a consistent reference to someone accepting a counter-Divine Will basis, and I’ve seen it as a recurring *trope* in anime. And of course throughout the game there’s the usual blasphemous [Asian]-[Babylonian] idolatry, given an ostensibly ‘respectable’ and ‘benevolent’ presentation, and if we’re to infer that it’s been counter-Divine Will-predicated ‘spirit entities’ who’ve traditionally been presenting themselves to [Asian] culture and mythology as supposed ‘*nature kami*’ things get even more interesting: a consistent sidequest involves [travel] to [unite] [pairs] (a likely [twin] symbol referencing a certain [kitsune] of them together again, for which the [Horus] character is rewarded. Non-overtly, it does rather appear to be consistent messaging throughout. If we’re going to make the [dragon] and [technology] symbolic correlation, the new emphasis on engineering [technology] components is also of concern,

despite it making overtly for a great and very innovative game mechanic. The alternating, undulating [color] of [light] and [dark] [green] associated with them also hasn't escaped My notice. And then of course we have the platitude trope of all the diverse demographics of the realm [uniting] and enthusiastically [pledging] their supposed loyalties to the [Heroes] placeholder protagonist, along with their respective paranormal abilities which of course would *also* represent a counter-Divine Will basis if the 'working theory' regarding 'spirit entities' is accurate. In all, the organizing symbolism the game presents to the rank and file personnel is neither particularly reassuring nor does it assist the organization in establishing itself on a legitimate, Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. Again, the organization and its personnel appear to be rather blatantly underperforming with regard to that, while instead manifestly implementing agenda items which are not just incidental to them but directly mutually-exclusive with them. As the organization day by day manifestly continues to compound liabilities for its unconscionable overt actions, liabilities which 'its' claimed personnel are ultimately accountable, what it appears to be doing is not mitigating them with a view towards resolving them, not paying off its colossal manifest debts, but instead knowingly, Willfully, avoidably and very actively indeed accruing more of the same.

Let's consider the matter of the supposed 'war' between Russia and the Ukraine. A 'war' by definition is a military conflict between two distinct and sovereign countries. Here instead we have militarized devastation brought on by shills of

the same parent organizations, with the common People drastically affected by it. Totally avoidable, thoroughly unconscionable, and of course every time the media skills reference it as a 'war' they're perpetrating an overt fraud upon the public in order to bolster the agendas of the organization which manifestly is making that and so many other unconscionable things happen. When an organization and 'its' personnel are so blatantly on an untrustworthy and dishonest counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis overtly, I'm less than clear on why or how I'd trust anything they appear to imply non-overtly. Manifest supposed 'war' or merely the organization's routine and systematic 'peacetime' violations of Divinely-conferred rights, [Osiris], [Isis] or any of 'their' franchises, it would appear per Divine Will principles to make no meaningful distinction. All the same thing, from the same unworthy and uncreditable basis. Is this then the understanding of the organization's basis and priorities that it would like us to have, that when called to account to address and resolve its manifest counter-Divine Will basis it merely responds by shifting up its strategies, methods, narratives and so on to *other* alternate counter-Divine Will deployments instead? I suspect not, but that does rather blatantly appear to be what it's been manifestly evidencing. An unbroken sequence of compounding and very public [embarrassments] to itself. I suspect humanity's demand for that is fairly low, and that it will be unable to find room for it in its figurative budget. Admittedly, that behavior doesn't appear especially 'sustainable' to Me. Meanwhile, they've used the Russia and Ukraine atrocities as a means to hype Mr. Zelensky going [on

to [r] on an international begging mission, and to hype the organization as a whole as striving to “gift” him with all manner of equipment, benefits and so on. From its counter-Divine Will basis, of course. The pattern appears to corroborate the ‘alternate’, ‘working theory’ definition for their “[cha] mode” that I’d mentioned. That is, striving to align some new perceived human authority figure with a counter-Divine Will basis.

‘King for a day’

If the ‘working theory’ version is accurate, what the apostate system then would manifestly appear to have is their [Osiris] as a sort of patsy or b [g]man. This would seem to be consistent with their manifest pattern of having overt primary human national governmental offices consolidated into a comparatively few, easily-controlled individuals who tend to have their ‘breathing privileges’ yanked if they defy the agendas. [Rome] manifestly was and remains very into that. It also very neatly establishes an easily-attributable single point of critical failure to receive the blame for the problems the organization’s personnel at every ‘layer’ have been causing, from the strategic masterminds to the merest taxpayer being an indirect accomplice by subsidizing and passively enabling its activities. Replace the figurehead, and convincing the public that the problem has been resolved now becomes a very easy matter. It appears to be a formula they’ve manifestly reiterated at the overt and lower ‘layers’ because it’s been so effective for

thousands of years at the higher ones. The thing about non-overtly and personally organized abusive cryptocracies is that it's not always a particularly easy matter to see where they end. Unless of course they and their prosecution happen to both declare that the basis on which they're predicated is a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. While that remains manifestly so regardless of how much one desires to be constructive with it, even by the organization's own policies of Divine Will principles they're unable to trust it. Their implied offer of the [Osiris] position then becomes interpreted as little more than a figurative and symbolic [death] [trap], what could legally be approximated as *'an attractive nuisance'*.

I keep thinking about their fraudulent overt supposed presentation of government in the literal United States. The public are given their 'free Choice' of puppet kings who are effectively unaccountable to the public themselves, and who then routinely defy even Constitutional limitations. The puppets are then instead loyal to foreign agendas, making them foreign agents incrementally subverting the place into something more to the organization's apparent liking. Loyal to the same masters are the various 'alphabet agencies', some of whom the puppet officials are rather conveniently beholden to for their continued personal safety. It seems reasonable to infer that the traditional European aristocrats were just as dependent upon their ostensibly 'menial servants', who would've been emplaced in some exceptionally strategically-advantageous positions and who could've been just as loyal to those same masters, resulting in a sort of interlocking,

inescapable system of enforcement which ensured that the public puppets continued to serve. However the specifics manifestly worked, the result of course was an overt performance which distracted rather deftly from the existence of a cryptocracy; a system routinely transgressing against Divinely-conferred rights, and imposed and controlled by the methods of espionage and secrecy. A system where the only immediate accountability came from within its own 'closed system', which by definition would have been accountable only to its agendas and its ambitions rather than to actual Divine Will alignment. I'd been doing some free associating of this and came upon the colloquial phrase, 'spirit center', which I'd overheard somewhere and which seemed pretty apt. But where had I originally heard it? If it had been from a media source it could've been relevant, organizing symbolism being perhaps deployed for the rank and file personnel regarding this. Ah, there it was. It'd been from 'Ghosts', something I'd been recently considering given that 'ghosts', per the 'working theory', would be merely counter-Divine Will-predicated 'spirit entities' fraudulently misrepresenting themselves as disincarnated humans for some rather elaborate strategic reasons. And there it was, chock full of Babylonian Kabbalist symbolism rather blatantly and, unusually, overtly too. Along with some [kitsune] organizing symbolism, it appeared. And, I should definitely emphasize, also chock full of counter-Divine Will declaratory symbolism, making it entirely unusable for My purposes for anything other than as evidence of wrongdoing. And wasn't that the piece which had also presented the 'Choose your destructor!' formula, in which destruction was

seemingly inevitable but a variety of seeming Choices and options was available as to what specific form it would assume? Choose any one of them, and the subject had then ostensibly Chosen their own destruction right along with it. The formula appears to be sort of a free will 'gotcha!' strategy implemented by a counter-Divine Will basis intending to observe the letter, if not the spirit, of the rules.

I kept evaluating pretty much the only source of evidence I manifestly have, that of the apostate system's organizing symbolism and deployment of it to the rank and file personnel via mythology and media, as I considered how [absurd] it would be to have a system in which ones' assistants and servants were continually and quite intentionally out to [murder] them. If this was so, there'd likely be patterns of evidence for it presented rather prominently in media. And that's of course when the trope of '[Not now, C!to!](#)' 'clicked', from the 'P!nk P!nther' movies, which I'm presenting here **also** exclusively as evidence. Yes, even despite the comparatively blatant symbolic downlow homoerotic subtext, and even despite its read in the [Asian] symbolic context. Which, though, could be relevant to this and indeed consistent with the pattern. [Fighting] is more or less just a diminished deployment of the [murder] or [death] symbol, [Asian] symbolism tends to throw its own connotations onto those which are interesting and perhaps relevant, but regarding [Asian] groups as derivatives of the original Babylonian and dynastic Egyptian parent group their non-overt lingo would appear to just involve a variation of deployment of the same basic symbolism, with some exoteric frippery added

on as a sort of alibi for the common rabble. I also notice that their [fights] nearly always ended when they were [interrupted] by the [telephone], usually when the investigator was given his new orders by his superior. For reasons I'll avoid fully getting into here, the [telephone] in particular tends to be used as a convenient symbolic [drag] reference, in part due to the [wavy line] nature of the lines involved being a reference to their [drag], [Tiamat].

Had I encountered other instances of this apparent pattern, in which the organization manifestly appeared to be trying to use 'its' assistant personnel, specifically 'its' [Isis] subdivision, to get Myself or their [Osiris] figures onto a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis? A little more mental rummaging and ah! Yes, I had! Most recently with the otherwise rather *outré* moment in 'Sneakers', with, "[Sit] down, Werner!" during the diting scene. Quite forceful, which was presumably intended to be 'inverted'. The [twin] nature of the [posterior] symbol could be read as a [katsune] reference, which could indeed add another 'inversion'. And we saw the same thing 'again', that is, earlier, with another media piece I'd presented in these exposure pieces. 'Quantum Leap', season five, episode seventeen, just after the opening theme. Also very forceful, also very emphatic, also presented by a [woman] to a [man]. Also presented on a "[chaos] mode" basis, incidentally.

More free association. The pattern appeared to be manifestly evident and present, but I needed to conceptualize it clearly. Figuratively 'getting a handle' on something is part of

processing, addressing, doing some diagnostics on the root problem, and striving to correct and resolve it. The root problem of course is the counter-Divine Will basis on which the organization still to this day manifestly continues to Choose to remain. I've already diagnosed that one plenty, and their correction there is still, er, 'pending'. But as for the derivative errors here, what would they be specifically and what would a legitimate alternative even look like? There had to be some overt equivalents, given so much manifest human history. What was this like? And how for that matter would this or any alternative formula I could suggest in an external advisory capacity be an improvement? Come to think of it, I'd already manifestly encountered decades of oppression, subjugation, rights violations, etc. which had to the best of My knowledge been the result of an 'erroneous' judgement against Me (intentionally or otherwise) from their "Ennead" (which I've just found out linguistically rather than mythologically refers to the number [nine], disturbingly, so I'll have to start redacting that too). Supposedly this [Osiris] position routinely and continually had someone in a position in which more of the same could and would occur, and from an illegitimate counter-Divine Will basis at that. I'd have to be very silly indeed to knowingly, Willfully and avoidably put Myself into a situation like that. Still, there had to be some accountability to Divine Will principles for whoever's in office. Not to have that would presumably not be worth contemplating. And overtly instituting that kind of accountability has supposedly been attempted before, [with predictably unconscionable and agenda-motivated results.](#)

With the apostate system, it would manifestly appear there can either be no accountability for the 'higher-ups' or alternatively that whoever's implementing it merely themselves become the next in a succession of agenda-motivated 'higher-ups' manipulating the oversight for their own purposes or that of their masters. While it manifestly remains on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis it appears that it can just 'pick its poison' there, between two atrocious categories of alternatives resulting from that more fundamental Choice. In either instance, figuratively speaking 'the game's not worth the candle' and makes perhaps one of the most compelling arguments for the dissolution of the organization as it manifestly does not satisfy the purpose for which it non-overtly advertises its existence. Both their [Osiris] and their [Isis] offices apparently thoroughly non-integrous owing to their Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, neither able to trust each other while on that basis (and by definition they're just as figuratively 'screwed' if they do). Not enough verified, guaranteed Divine Will alignment to 'go round', to comprise a legitimate, functional and just organization. A castle made of [sand], and some [water] having rather indescribably undesirable properties. On such a nature and basis it didn't even deserve to exist in the first place, let alone to persist for thousands of years. That must have taken quite a lot of doing, what we'll graciously refer to as 'finesse' here.

In the event that the 'working theory' is accurate however,

even if all involved figuratively *'quit their jobs'* and disbanded the thing tomorrow those 'spirit entities' would presumably only take their psionic toys and go home, deploying the same or a similar implementation of the formula to establish another version of the system with other people. That would mean there's a Duty to Divine Will to make a best effort to devise a solution which not only would avert the manifest problem within the organization, but would also effectively displace any such efforts from outside it. And to do it still without [touching] the organization, let alone receiving any recompense let alone benefits from it, while it manifestly remains on such a basis. And while continuing to subsist under its systematic violations of Divinely-conferred rights, variously implemented both generally and in specific with regard to Myself. Hardly seems fair, but it's Divine Will for which I'm Working here, and for all our best interests.

A clear, plain commitment to a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis would presumably have to come first, necessarily. Everything genuinely worthwhile derives from that.

Figuratively, the manifest problem is pretty much like trying to slip using a fairly damp washcloth as a blanket: regardless of where specifically one deploys it or in what position, it's by nature insufficient to do the job. That is why, to the best of My knowledge, I can't legitimately [touch] it.

The counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature organizing symbolism is about the least part of it too, and they manifestly still retain their Choice for that. Hypothetically, once

relinquished, they'd presumably need to establish some means of verifying their commitment to actually 'go straight', to 'reform'. I'm not even sure how they'd manage to accomplish that; 'second virginity' appears to be merely an intellectual and social convenience, and their manifestly usual signals of 'commitment', those of the idol [Fidels], is itself part of the organization's routinely blasphemous counter-Divine Will basis as part of the '*humans as supposed deities*' motif. But not having the solution to that one Myself, I'll posit a situation in which that were alleviated from their 'side'. What then would the functional proffered alternate solution for keeping their [Osiris] either legit or replacing 'him' look like? And how could we ever distinguish whatever it was from a mere '*credibility restoration*' and '*damage control*' psychop, which those involved if they retained a counter-Divine Will basis would surely have more than ample short-term motive to attempt to implement effectively?

Aside from the organization's manifest counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, I've been evaluating for the apparent flaws in its current method and have found some errors which appear to be, manifestly at least, quintessentially Babylonian in nature. For a start, the idea that one can transgress against Divine Will principles and True Nature the whole way through, and yet somehow arrive at a result which is not only consistent with them but also worthy, legitimate and desirable too. Even its current [Isis] accountability formula does that; it merely compounds the basic problem. Their formula with [Horus] did the same thing with (that is, 'to')

Myself. Consider, they're going to retain a counter-Divine Will basis throughout, mumble some non-overt signals to 'teach' Me Divine Will principles, and use Divinely-conferred rights-violating [f▀xbl▀ght] to wh▀p Me around like a figurative p▀nb▀ll when they don't think I've got it right. But they appear to suppose that the eventual result of all that investment in a Choice for even more counter-Divine Will efforts is going to result in a legitimate, Divine Will-aligned basis for the organization. I have to s▀y, I find that reasoning very suspect indeed. Do we see that happen in the natural w▀rld? Do farmers routinely harv▀st a crop of produce of some other variety than the seeds they'd pl▀nted? They've manifestly been 'pl▀nting' counter-Divine Will seeds throughout; someone appears to merely have mislab▀led them, put some other image and description on the seed pack▀t, that's all. That's either a rather unlikely innocent error, or consumer fraud.

Next there's the inherent problem of men ruling over other men, and the inherent supposed authority that comes with an office. The Babylonian system implies that the office confers legitimate authority, because effectively by definition the office is Divine Will-aligned or the [Osir▀s] figure wouldn't be able to retain it. And that appears to be a very useful pl▀y for getting people to support whatever his current counter-Divine Will-predicated agenda items are, because they've outsourced their morality to him (or more accurately, have been successfully convinced that their moral duties are transferable like that), just as with the Roman Catholic papacy. *'We have **people** to do our morality for us, trusted experts!'* I'll s▀y it again, every time

people outsource their own duties to Divine Will to someone else, that trust is betrayed and that someone ends up making off with the family silver. The Divine is what's supposed to 'rule over' men, and any derived authority by definition has got to come from his accurately representing and implementing its principles. I mean objectively, not in the sense of assessment by some third party whose motivations I'm left insufficiently equipped to establish with certainty. Therefore humans ruling over other humans is by definition always an abstraction; that is, hypothecation and an inherent liability. Human authority as we now conventionally understand it is equivalent to liability. What the apostate system appear to have managed to do, disregarding the 'spirit entities' component for the moment, is to consolidate all or nearly all of it *'at the top'* in a centralized location. The result has manifestly made the whole organization figuratively 'top-heavy'. Hierarchy ensues. Their [Is's] subdivision implies that it can accomplish a *'course correction'* when necessary, and it's a more distributed system, but that *'telepathic internet'* is also by definition a non-transparent *'closed system'*, and we're still without verification on who's been underwriting it. Even in the even that it were legit, we'd merely have what could easily be just a larger 'stor chamber'. And there are of course interests out there who would find that quite attractive to obtain and exploit if they haven't already.

Human authority and accountability are two sides of the very same thing. And when it comes to deviations from Divine Will, which is where we normally have to encounter and consider it,

accountability and liability are the same thing. Consolidating human authority and consolidating liability is therefore the same thing. For all that so many 'layers' of the organization have manifestly loved to acquire that authority and offload liability, what it's manifestly been very actively promoting is a compounding of liability, with seemingly 'everyone' so eager to amass it unto themselves. *'Gluttons for punishment'*, I suppose. All on the basis that by accumulating clout, control, they can *'get one over'* on their fellow man. *'Better to do unto him before he does it unto me!'* So what we'd presumably be seeking here is a principles-based distributed network of oversight, where authority was about as distributed as much as was reasonably feasible and practical while still retaining enough control to ensure that adherence to Divine Will principles didn't get out-shouted, flummoxed out, dilapidated and overruled.

An example of this can be found overtly via the court system. The local courts now for instance so routinely operate on baseless legislation without legitimate subject-matter and personal jurisdiction (because Common Law juries, not the state courts have the jurisdiction over the People in their private capacity; government courts and government legislation are for regulating the internal bureaucracy of public officeholders only) that cases can and are immediately halted when jurisdiction is challenged; it must then be decided. And the interested court judiciary cannot summarily just claim to 'decide' it; that's a conflict of interest. Typically they're so miseducated that they will, which becomes a mere

presumption of jurisdiction which lacks an actual basis in law. That's when the person being adjudicated in the misruled court can make an immediate appeal to a higher court that their basic rights are being violated by the lower. The whole process stops as the higher court must pre-empt the matter and sort out whether those rights are being violated; the case that was being assessed at the lower court isn't even discussed there as to its merits, only whether there was a rights violation or denial of due process or the like. Typically, due to systematic miseducation of lower court judiciary, the rights violation or violation of due process occurred, and the higher court has effectively no feasible option but to throw the whole thing out. That's usually a federal court. So from a local level, you have matters which are safeguarded by increasing levels of higher courts doing oversight. But not about every detail and particular; it's only concerned with whether the fundamentals and basics are being upheld at the lower level. So the more 'regional' or broader offices are there to make sure that all the localities under them are upholding the fundamentals. They're not deciding local policies and so on, lords of all they survey. They're just ensuring the accountability to principles at the local levels.

If that were how it was manifestly continuing to work in actual practice, every local atrocity or scandal would reflect not only upon for example the local police department who just had an officer casually murder another innocent human or their pet, but it would also reflect as a pretty blatant 'toll' that the higher 'levels' responsible for ensuring accountability weren't doing

their jobs either. As things manifestly are, much of the local and statewide news makes it plain how much that's *not* been happening. And pretty much all of the international geopolitical stuff makes similarly plain how much the apostate system isn't doing *its job* upholding Divine Will principles. 'Principal and agent', right? Given that at least the non-overtly aware public recognize that it's 'their' organization for whom the various overt political officeholders are shilling, what's been manifestly going on not just non-overtly but overtly has been making a continual self-indictment of the organization and its manifest basis. Rhetorically speaking, what are people supposed to think when they keep encountering that stuff?

So I guess here I'm assessing for a system in which the brunt of the tangible authority and clout remained at the local level, with successive 'higher' levels of oversight. The higher up, the more authority but only with regard to increasingly close application of matters of Divine Will principles. A higher office could for example on its own authority decommission something happening at a local level if it were found to be an extremely blatant transgression against Divine Will principles. A neighboring region could appeal to a shared higher office if it had encountered something of that nature. A 'competing' franchise could appeal to a higher office if *it* did, making for a rather interesting and useful formula of 'competitors' making sure its competition remained legit if it wanted to stay in business. '*Survival of the Lawfulest*'

How that would work in terms of national and global offices,

such as their [Osiris] now appears to be, making large-scale policy decrees, I haven't sorted out yet. To some extent it shouldn't be. As things manifestly are now, somebody baselessly in a position of perceived authority decides on an agenda with practically no limits and not necessarily with any Divine Will or True Nature relevance, and the rank and file internationally just 'help to it'. Suddenly everyone's on about fidget spinners incessantly, or the overt sociopolitical rhetoric becomes even more obnoxious, or there's some new irrelevant political fluff piece topic on every channel for months, or the banks collapse, or there's some new military invasion that didn't need to happen. It's not always immediately apparent how if at all those things are representative of either Divine Will or True Nature. It's usually eventually apparent how they were representative of some agenda of organizational avarice was being implemented on a counter-Divine Will or counter-True Nature basis, which isn't exactly an unqualified endorsement of the organization as it manifestly exists. I'd think there ought to be some clear case to have to be made in order to get broader new decrees implemented; perhaps to some equivalent of a jury. And before their [Isis] subdivision leaps in and exclaims, *'I do that!'*, this jury would still have to be itself on a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. Can't have the legitimacy of something approved from those who themselves aren't on a legit basis, to the best of My knowledge.

Rule by principles. Common Law, Natural Law, Divine Will principles. Not by some individual who's been proclaimed to embody them pretty much by definition, only to have to put out

a bulk order for correctional fluid later and then wait for it to arrive. If there's a clear case for a desired project to somehow better implement and uphold Divine Will principles, that can presumably be clearly presented to a jury. If something's in blatant violation of Divine Will principles, Divinely-conferred rights and so on (as practically everything seems to be these days), that too can be just as blatantly illustrated to a jury.

So let's consider how Common Law does juries for a moment. Randomly-selected from the people in the affected area. They 'sit' for no longer than about half a year to prevent them from becoming effectively an apparatus of government. They're tasked with applying the principles of the law to a given matter, and to emitting a warrant for more investigation if necessary (or even if they decide that it's worthwhile just to take a looksee to make sure no wrongdoing's occurring). They decide about both the facts *and* the law, making them excellent at recognizing extenuating circumstances. They're the final word in human judgement, incapable of being overruled by even a government court. The only exception is if someones' rights were violated by the trial or their decision.

This of course means that the system is robust in terms of upholding its foundational principles, so long as its People are generally. And that's a system which, barring external events like an apostate system carving into it with non-overtly organized incremental subversion from an illegitimate counter-Divine Will basis, it tends to work, effectively and long-term. Everybody in it *wants* it to succeed, because everybody's liable

if it doesn't. Nobody wants to suddenly find they have to defend themselves in a court of law to a jury who's biased or never learned those foundational principles. And because the society has made sure they're prevalently learned people live by them in their ordinary daily lives, become accustomed to them (since they're liable if they violate somebody else's rights, so they'd better know what those are!) and the result is the very opposite of that *'outsourcing morality'* problem I'd mentioned. Through continual application of those fundamental principles, they're continually improving and refining their understanding of them and their alignment with them. That's praxis. That's 'Divine Will principles for everybody, all the time, not just for a select few and in theory only'. That's people, rather than doing whatever they want and fancying themselves to be deities, actually continuously improving their alignment with the Divine. The substance of the thing rather than just the well-hyped baseless claim.

This would also result in a situation in which there was a massive amount of redundancy and overlap in people available to uphold Divine Will principles competently and professionally. Presented for evaluation: When I was very young indeed, we were overtly told by our teachers that, *'In this country, anyone can grow up to become the President of the United States!'* I hadn't been equipped at the time to recognize it as the threat it so obviously was. Someone wouldn't have a particularly easy time convincing Me that the last umpteen overt Presidents we've had represented *'the best of the best'* of what our People had to offer, the pinnacle of American personal excellence.

Reader opinions could vary I suppose. What this illustrates to Me is that there's self-evidently manifestly been some *other* criteria by which overt American Presidents have been [s]elected, and that those criteria are utterly foreign and distinct from our foundational principles. And that the organization which has established those principles has manifestly been upholding neither our foundational principles nor Divine Will principles. Nor has it yet managed to replace those officeholders with people who are. Self-indictment via '*principal and agent*'. Rhetorically again, how does someone trust a system like that?

'Submissive and braggable', Divine Will style

There's been a trending piece of rhetoric, presumably from the organization's Knights of Malta franchise, to the effect of, "*Normalize telling your friends they look submissive and braggable*". That would be consistent with the fetishized ultra-masculinity thing they do, but of course given My own background I couldn't help but parse it first in My more familiar [French] via their [Aphrodite]. That is, as advocating for displacing overtly Divine Will- and True Nature-defective people from their positions, in preference of those who are blatantly illustrating superior alignment with them even just overtly. Recognizing and rewarding better alignment with Divine Will principles with greater influence and clout, which makes a lot more sense to Me than the organization rewarding whoever happens to be the most loyal *despite* True Nature and

Divine Will, whoever has the better access to the latest directives and agendas and is willing to do just about *anything* to implement them no matter what. It would re-enable a situation in which anyone is professionally replaceable, so much so that a certain amount of appreciation for seniority would presumably also have to be factored in or nobody would last more than about five minutes. Of course each 'office' would be comparatively streamlined and minimalist in its 'authority', which would certainly not only 'trim the fat' but also minimize the propensity of unscrupulous, self-interested individuals to want them in the first place. Overtly, 'Emergency Medical Technicians' are typically some of the very best and moral humans I've ever encountered. Their jobs are some of the most demanding, their hours are hideous and their pay is thoroughly insufficient. They're not there just to 'clock in', nor are they there for the paycheck. They do it because it's what genuinely matters to them. That's presumably the mindset the organization would ideally want in those capacities.

And in terms of displacing someone in office with someone with greater Divine Will alignment, it needn't be some [cartoonish] supervillain '*desperate power-grab*' dynamics either. Ostensibly all involved are, at least supposedly, there to promote Divine Will and True Nature; if someone can do that better, egos need not come into it. It can, and presumably ought to be, quite an amicable transition at whatever organizational 'level'. Unless of course, they're out for their own self-indulgence, or a compelling case can be made that there's something amiss with the transition with regard to

Divine Will principles and True Nature.

Which reminds Me. [Isis] have manifestly been investing themselves in patterns of non-overt intimations to Me using some of the most indirect, personnel resource-consuming methods, while I've been at a loss trying to interpret what, indeed if anything, they could possibly be on about or mean in this ongoing involuntary game of 'charades' on their part. Just talking directly and plainly, let alone from a legitimate, Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, seems to be something of which they're just incapable. I'm fairly certain they can speak overt English, particularly the ones I encounter. I get it, I've been able to recognize the implied pattern of, *'We can't just say it, we can't just directly object, not to [Osiris], even if 'he's' decreeing something that's counter-Divine Will and counter-True Nature! That would be disrespectful!'* Not to Divine Will and True Nature, surely. I suppose it would depend on whether personnel are serving the office or Divine Will. If the 'working theory' is accurate, in truth they're actually manifestly serving neither. They'll presumably recall when I'd mentioned in these pieces something to the effect of, *'What if I actually **want** constructive, respectful debate on policy though, because My objective is to implement and uphold Divine Will?'* In a theoretical situation in which both the [Isis] and the [Osiris] officeholders are genuinely Divine Will-aligned, common sense would suggest that that's where and how disputes ought to be raised and indeed resolved. Gentle reminders of Divine Will principles the contemplated policies or decrees would appear to transgress, questions about how proposed solutions would

satisfy basic requirements established by this or that Divine Will principle, that sort of thing. All the more effectively if done in realtime, or very nearly, and overtly. You know, a normal, basic conversation about matters of importance. Rather than having to invasively having to pluck the thoughts from My head, have them go through the telepathic internet, and then strain to make sense of their apparent non-overt responses via the international news from halfway around the world, or from some media I'm watching that was made decades in the past. Yes, a forceful authoritarian response from the office they've been tarring [Osiris] would theoretically be a matter for concerns about personal safety. I don't suppose it would take very many instances of that happening for the officeholder to rather clearly establish their counter-Divine Will motivations and priorities; one of the more blatant 'tricks' of such malfeasance, all else being equal. That is, provided the [Isis] contingent weren't being disrespectful about it, were clearly on a legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, and not, I don't know, continually harassing 'him' with an torrent of agenda-motivated sophistries and calumnies which would make 'his' efforts to address and resolve such concerns amicably eventually impossible. In a situation in which the [Isis] and [Osiris] subdivisions were or had been Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned and legit, and that's manifestly by no means a given to Me at present, then they ought to be reasonably able to expect better rapport and good faith, I would think. Perhaps I'm missing something there.

In the instance of an intolerably authoritarian, er, 'bullily' of an

officeholder, then of course there'd be a case to be made for 'duress' and [Is's] per Common Law principles would presumably be quite justified in using many of 'her' current 'sneaky' and indirect methods as a sort of 'fallback', if the legitimate efforts for yanking him out of office legitimately and more overtly and officially either weren't established, or if 'he'd' removed them. But if Divine Will alignment and 'due process' were present, removing them would itself presumably have been a major 'till' of his mifeasance, just as My motivation for advising their emplacement is the quite natural result of My own alignment with Divine Will and True Nature. But getting 'sneaky' with an officeholder as a matter of first resort seems pretty hinky; why assume malice? Consider how long I've manifestly been enduring rights violations and a counter-Divine Will basis from [Is's], and I'm still managing to be *this* constructive about it. I have a complaint on the basis of Divine Will, True Nature, rights or fairness and I present it, clearly and plainly, openly and overtly, and from a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. When it's deliberately neither successfully rebutted nor corrected, they throw shade on themselves. That formula doesn't appear to be reciprocal at present; indeed I've noticed what appears to be an uptick trend in counter-Divine Will declaratory symbolism from them, which isn't doing much to establish a credible basis on their part. Yes, I've noticed the implied pattern of *'hiding behind a counter-Divine Will basis'*, yet, if one is accepting a counter-Divine Will basis in order to obtain the benefit of expediency, that would still appear to be in actual practice accepting a counter-Divine Will basis, whatever the reasoning.

The supposed logistics of their manifest current method with that seem as reasonably comprehensible as they seem unconvincing to Me. *'We do all this, having accepted a counter-Divine Will basis, yet we make it clear to all involved that we're not just out for a power grab, because in order to implement an [Osiris] transition we first have to train a [Horus] candidate, then make sure he's upholding Divine Will to our satisfaction, and then it's he that gains all the rewards and the glory, not us! So we're doing this for charity, just because we love Divine Will principles so much and want an organization which continues to uphold them!'* Excuse Me. The 'gain' appears to be the credibility gain the organization achieves by deploying the whole rigmarole. In doing so the organization receives benefit via 'damage control' and 'internal credibility restoration', which allows it to continue doing all the counter-Divine Will stuff it manifestly does. And in that, the subdivision appears to be manifestly serving, instead, a counter-Divine Will interest. And as the organization has amply illustrated via its plethora of financial hypothecation exploits as implemented by its [Remphn] idol, if something can be made indirect and complicated enough it can usually be strategically diddled with. The more complicated and indirect a system is, the more things can be rationalized for why *'the map doesn't in fact accurately represent the territory, not right here and not right now'*. Why, for any one of a number of reasons, maintaining alignment with Divine Will principles is *'an effort in process'* rather than, spiritually and metaphysically speaking, **the Law**. Which the organization has manifestly been systematically transgressing

against for the last few thousand years. Eventually, there isn't much of a compelling argument that can be made to explain away that situation. The very Divine Will principles they'd taught Me declare that to be indefensible, so I'm not sure what else they expect Me to make of them.

In any event, if the currently-named [Isis] subdivision were actually on a Divine Will-aligned basis, and there was a matter of plain, forceful, authoritarian 'obstruction of justice' from the currently-named [Osiris] office, I would ordinarily suppose that would present 'duress' to sufficiently authorize more 'non-overt' methods. But per Divine Will principles I fail to see how even that would, nor even how it could, authorize them declaring a counter-Divine Will basis let alone acting on it, such as violating the Divinely-conferred rights of others including their [Horus] candidate. Sure, in theory such a tyrannically authoritarian [Osiris] could eventually make being on such a basis 'mandatory', but such a decree would presumably be unenforceable against non-members of the organization. I'm not aware of anything producing 'duress' to keep the telepaths and propheciers self-identifying with it; they would appear to have the option to leave it. Would it perhaps preclude receiving the advantages and considerations of membership? Yes, it presumably would. That, however, is not 'duress'. My subsisting out there on the streets manifestly for more than a decade (while getting pointed around via flashlight like a human pinball for that matter) was not especially comfortable nor convenient. But it was an option I did have, and it was the option I could see that enabled Me to avoid the unconscionable

other alternative, that of subsidizing and becoming an accomplice to unconscionable atrocities via 'My' tax dollars. So reasons like *'to stay in media'* for example wouldn't appear to make particularly compelling arguments for remaining in the organization at the cost of adopting a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. And if the [Is] contingent is going to suggest that it's out to 'stall' the various agendas of the [Osir] incumbent through a variety of non-overtly passive-aggressive methods, common sense tells us that those methods would be 'stalled' even more effectively if personnel subordinated themselves from the organization. Particularly if they then established or facilitated an existing counter-effort. So it just doesn't 'add up' to Me, and of course is still manifestly all being presented on an inherently non-credible counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis.

Reasonable expectation of privacy rights

Had to include this advice for reasons which are presumably obvious by now. The common man, and in their personal lives officeholder, I would assess have a Divinely-conferred reasonable expectation of privacy rights. That means I presumably have a Duty per Divine Will to evaluate how that 'squares' with 'organizational security', the non-overt equivalent of 'national security'. And we've manifestly seen the latter being overused and abused quite a lot recently, so we've got a great mutually-recognizable counterexample from which to consider the matter. For starters, Common Law necessarily

has investigations authorized by a jury of the People, rather than by some 'closed system' 'alphabet agency' which is by definition unaccountable. A valid jury would have to be assembled for the purpose, to adjudicate whether or not they would authorize a 'warrant' for investigation, for a specific person or persons, on a specific matter, for a limited duration (presumably lasting no more than half a year, which is how long a Common Law jury is allowed to remain established). Due care and consideration for privacy rights would also presumably require them to be respected in all ways that were not absolutely necessary, which I would think would also involve them receiving notification after the fact once the investigation had been completed, and perhaps some compensation for the injury if there had been nothing in it. I'd think an even better implementation of that than mere individual notification would be public notification, if only on a local or regional level in most instances. People could then know how frequently the organization was doing this stuff, better equipping them to assess their confidence in its activities and therefore its basis.

While we're on the topic of investigation, I should reiterate that it appears to Me that the application of psionics without such a warrant appears a transgression of not only Divinely-conferred rights, but a likely indicator of a counter-Divine Will basis until and unless the organization manages to credibly and verifiably establish what exactly is underwriting that capability, and what basis *it's* on. My complaint there and the Divine Will-predicated reasoning for it have already been presented, openly, clearly, plainly and publicly, and resolution on that

appears to be either disregarded or still pending.

‘Publish or perish’

I’ve also encountered non-overt implications from more than one source, which appear to be to the effect of, *‘We can hear you from here! We’ll take care of all this; you don’t need to publish it openly and publicly!’* While that would certainly be more comforting, such implied messaging is by definition only as credible and reliable as the basis from which it’s presented. They, manifestly, have been presenting their intimations from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, which per Divine Will principles they know I can neither trust nor rely on. Indeed to relax on that could risk needlessly complicating the situation, by placing Me in a position of having been assessed as ‘buying into’ or ‘getting cozy with’ a counter-Divine Will-predicated basis. I don’t assess that I’m legitimately able to do that, so as things currently and manifestly are, I don’t. I’m striving for everyone’s best interests here. They appear to be served best through maintaining a clear and open alignment with Divine Will that’s reasonably above reproach. Also by striving to keep the situation from becoming so convoluted that it’s well beyond the ken of the common man and becomes inaccessible, and unaccountable, to him.

For all these diagnostics, evaluation and *pro bono* suggestions, the core of the problem, specifically the manifest Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis from the organization collectively and of its [its] subdivision in particular, remains the 'first cause' and it remains unresolved. We, that is the generic 'we', still have them rather blithely implementing counter-Divine Will activities overtly and declaring themselves to be on such a basis non-overtly. And even if both of those are cleared up, establishing the sincerity of a Choice for Divine Will and True Nature remain perhaps the most significant prerequisites to establishing trust. I'm not even sure how that would be managed, or if it could. Throughout this, I've been left to a great extent unequipped with anything beyond Divine Will principles with which to try to sort out the situation. I have whispers that are presented from an inherently non-credible basis. I have media evidence in abundance, and the common man who's typically been conditioned not to apply himself to studying that or indeed much else. I assess that I wouldn't even be able to accept organizational resources for the purpose even if they were offered, because they're still manifestly on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis which I can't legitimately [touch], and so therefore do not. And all the while, the manifest detriments and violations of Divinely-conferred rights continue to accumulate. Many of the people I care about the most who are familiar with the non-overt stuff have even found themselves somewhere else to be, likely due to the atypical nature of the situation. Those who haven't are usually either

unfamiliar with that 'levelling' of things or are on a quite blatantly counter-Divine Will basis per their organizing symbolism as deployed. It's as though I'm trying to do everything with nothing, and of course only the Divine Itself can do that.

Then of course there's the matter of that 'working theory' and striving for a committal of those 'spirit entities' onto a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, and how that would even be accomplished. Or indeed verified with any certainty. In terms of [Isis], at least per that 'working theory', routinely [murdering] [Osiris] and so on, I did manage to find an overt historical precedent which could, perhaps, suggest a remedy for both that and those 'spirit entities', again if such commitments from either of them could actually be reliably verified. The position the situation has manifestly placed Me in appears to be more or less analogous to that of a man named Lothar of Segni (whose stem name was 'Pope Innocent the Third') when he laid his interdict on the whole of England and Ireland and Wales in [1208]. It was a sort of 'religious strike' in which services would not be performed for anyone, guilty or innocent. Rome followed this up with excommunicating the King. The peasants were naturally quite upset; their sins, they'd supposed, would not be pardoned by God and their very kingdom would not be either. Complete dissociation from a legitimate Divine Will alignment, and public recognition of same. I don't need to tell Rome and Babylon what happened next in the sequence of events. For other readers though, the result was, of that and a subsequent breach of contract, that the whole kingdom was officially, publicly, formally, openly

and, per the declaration, permanently ceded to Rome and that ever since, its aristocracy have been literally renting back its pomp and regalia. King John's 'Great' Concession, for those who'd like to look it up.

Part of the problem with the 'spirit entities' of the 'working theory' is that by definition they don't seem to be accountable to keeping their commitments, not in any meaningful sense. In fact they're mythologically renowned for devising and exploiting loopholes. But a system-wide, public declaration to the effect that all involved were doing a 'change of address' onto a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned position could, perhaps, do it. 'Spirit entities' too of course. Not that they've yet appeared to offer. As of this writing the organization blithely continues on its manifestly counter-Divine Will basis, and as such I can only infer that they're either still 'preparing' or instead are, at best, still trying to 'hobble' about it.

But in recognizing their manifestly counter-Divine Will basis and refusing to [touch] the organization, the metaphorical 'interdict' continues. And publicly, though non-overtly.

- [June the twenty-fifth, 2023]

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

Source: DivineWillAssembly.com

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Discord](#) (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

My exposure sites:

[Divine Will Assembly](#)

[Lexicon](#)