

The Rhetoric Factory: Jury Tampering in the Court of Public Opinion

Here in the literal States, the People are just starting to pay attention to the social and political situation after generations of keeping civically fallow. They're increasingly upset about the violation of Divinely-conferred rights, and in many cases are being actively incited to. But not only does the general public tend to now lack an understanding of how rights, limited government and our common law system were built to work, they also tend to be essentially babes in the woods in that they're trying to effect changes amid a rather subtle and nuanced formula of the apostate system's design, contrived over about three centuries and deploying techniques which have been refined over thousands of years.

Clearly, the People need some assistance there. Without it they're relegated to a scenario in which the apostate system continues to routinely defraud the general public and violate Divinely-conferred rights, using the results of a subversion campaign they've been conducting against the People for quite some while now. As such, I thought a basic primer was in order to help the People get a sense of what's what, how it's being done, so they can more effectively uphold Divinely-conferred rights and a functional society and government.

As even the apostate corporate media personnel have been pseudo-lamenting, *'The American People have never been more divided'* (as though the same masters those media personnel serve haven't been systematically bringing that about for quite a while now, and as though even their commentary on it isn't more organizing symbolism to perpetuate the situation). The average Joe attempts to throw in his lot with one major (or even minor) social or political cause or group, only to get pulled into an effort that's long since been coopted by the apostate system. Much like the Crusades, an unworthy scenario practically compels people to 'opt into' either one subverted effort or the other. The 'sellout' politicians, long collusive with the apostate system as a prerequisite for getting into office, are even less helpful when it comes to restoring a situation of Divinely-conferred rights, our common law system, and a limited government in its proper and limited place.

As people start to recognize that the familiar 'binary' formula they've gotten used to is neither functional nor worthwhile, they start getting into comparatively smaller conspiracy and exposure causes, which find them in a whole new territory of apostate system-crafted misinformation and massive public fraud. And since they're generally unaware of it, they spend years caught up in low-information disinfo groups, blithely reposting yet another iteration of apostate system-contrived narratives fraught with still more non-overt organizing symbolism.

It rather appears that the Divinely-given sense of agency for the common man has been systematically and deliberately stymied by the apostate system regardless of what choice he makes, and this formula has so far only been continuing while he remains in a low-information state. Clearly, he needs some assistance. Even attempts to provide correctional info to them

via mutually-respectful, reason and evidence-based debates on social media has in large part been a lost cause, as the zealotry and groupthink between the two major ideological groups and more recently even the defrauded 'truthers' has been practically insurmountable. Once the average person has chosen to self-identify with a group or an ideology, to refute that position is generally interpreted as an effort to impugn the legitimacy of the person themselves. Their ego gets involved, and they practically always defend the position in order to defend their own ego.

That's left even more knowledgeable, Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned efforts with the seeming inability to actively assist the common man here in any meaningful way. And the result of that has been that the country as a whole, and thus the apostate system, has just blithely continued to invest themselves in situations which continue to violate Divinely-conferred rights... even of those who still retain a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned position. For those keeping score at home, that's a scenario in which the counter-Divine Will basis the apostate system has been Choosing has been systematically encroaching against those on a Divine Will-aligned basis. To clarify, that's not supposed to be allowed to happen. But here we find ourselves nonetheless.

Thus, the common man needs better assistance in comprehending what the heck's been going on here. As the Divinely-aligned authority figure I've got some amount of what could be likened to a parental duty to provide that. So while we're all eagerly awaiting the apostate system, and the telepaths and propheciers in particular, to recognize Divine Will-aligned authority and Work with Me to accomplish a retroactive solution to the greater situation, I still have a duty in the scenario to which we've all been currently relegated to equip the common man with what he needs to better assess the situation and get things sorted out in the here and now. Let's get to it, then.

The media

Among the major contributors to the current groupthink mantras have been the prevalent media. The personnel comprising them have not only been putting out various forms of overt apostate system rhetoric and subversion ideologies, they've also been functioning as repeaters for its non-overt organizing symbolism which the rank and file then dutifully enact. All the media personnel I've seen have been self-identifying with the apostate system, apparently as a sort of prerequisite for a media position. This is made all the more absurd by the proportion of media personnel who are among the telepaths and propheciers; if anyone should know better, they ought to. But how did the media situation get this way? For that, we'll need to delve into some history.

Let's start with the Babylonian Kabbalist-subverted Greek empire, and in particular their 'sophists'. These were learned men who used the public forums to participate in public dialogues and debates with one another on matters of philosophy, rhetoric, mathematics, and so on. They were regarded as teaching 'virtue' or 'excellence', and many developed large fanbases and gathered huge crowds. But in a shift which presages modern commercialized media, the actual integrity of this system began to dwindle in favor of other, more earthly priorities. The public would attend these debates, huge events, but the rhetoric eventually devolved into the equivalent of a modern talk show host's. And much like modern pro sports events, someone eventually noticed that if you had the performers working in collusion with you, you could pre-determine the outcomes and 'fix' the debates. That enabled you to use these public performances not to genuinely educate the masses, but rather as a device to shift the public mindset on matters of the day, in ways that were favorable to your organizational agendas and ambitions. It's from these guys that we get our modern word 'sophistry', which refers to [ab]using fallacious arguments and agenda-motivated rhetoric, usually with the intentional purpose of manipulating others.

It's a formula the Babylonian Kabbalists have been deploying for quite some while, but it really started to come into active, systematic use with the counter-Reformation. So let's have a look at what happened there.

[1450], the Gutenberg printing press was developed for commercial use. Gutenberg chose the Bible as the first book to be mass-produced, and the first finished copies of the Vatican's Latin Vulgate were available five years later.

[1522], Martin Luther publishes mass-produced versions of the New Testament, translated into what was then the modern vernacular so that everyone could read and understand it. By [1534] he's got mass-produced translations of the whole thing, Old and New Testaments with Apocrypha, available to the general public. One hundred thousand copies were sold within forty years, something which was unthinkable at the time and which had been impossible prior to the invention of mass printing.

This is where things start to get interesting. For centuries, the Vatican and the papacy had

been thriving because they'd managed to establish themselves as middle-men between God and the common man, and maintained through brute force a near-total monopoly on the Bible. There were several Papal Bulls pronouncing that any layman found owning a Bible, and any clergy found owning a version other than the versions approved by the Vatican, were to have their life and all their property made forfeit and seized on behalf of the Vatican.

The average layman couldn't read the Bible for himself, and the result was that in effect the Word of God was whatever the Vatican said it was. They found that rather convenient, particularly as they might as well have installed a revolving door between [the Vatican and state officials, and organized crime families](#). The result had been that what amounted to organized crime was running the governmental and religious apparatus, and criminal syndicates were effectively 'plying God', and government as well. This is standard Babylonian Kabbalist strategy, and as we'll see the strategy hasn't changed significantly even now.

But with the onset of this new information technology, everyone could now read the Bible for themselves. Result: They started to notice some major discrepancies between what the papacy had been pulling on them, and what the Bible actually said. And now that anyone could own a Bible, the Vatican's brute force methods of suppression were no longer practicable. Just as with the proliferation of in-the-home churches across the Middle East when Jesus showed, it was a formula which had become very familiar to Rome: the more brute force they'd apply, the greater the resulting PR boondoggle. Rome knew it would have to revise its strategies once again.

Rome's decision was to 'double down' on the strategic decision it had opted for the first time, when it had transitioned from being the Roman Empire, to being Roman Catholicism in order to use guile to subvert Christianity by presenting as Christianity. Suppressing the Protestantism that was spreading, not to mention the common law effort that the People had been remembering and reasserting in gasps to fend off the encroachments against their rights that the aristocracies and papacy had been pulling for centuries, Rome decided to get even sneakier. [1534], the Jesuits were founded with the specific mission statement of countering and eradicating the Protestant 'Reformation'. [1540], the papacy formally approves them.

But what were they up to? They were and remain the Vatican's espionage agents. When the general public starts to overtly revile the Vatican's influence, the counter-strategy is to revert back to a non-overt basis of symbolic organization which allows them to go unrecognized, infiltrating, subverting, presenting as the competition, undermining, and revisionist history. And of course to spread the influence of Rome and Babylon not only to foreign cultures the world over, but also to infiltrate and subvert governments internationally, and strive to establish themselves as the presenters of education in order to promote the ideologies of their choosing.

As a matter of basic logistics, vast espionage networks which organize using non-overt symbolism necessarily have to present that organizing symbolism in public venues. That's because when this is not done, various power-grabs and schisms tend to develop throughout the network, as orders from the official authority figures are strategically misrepresented to the rank and file by middle-rung managers and the effect can become more or less like a child's game of 'Telephone'. So it becomes an organizational imperative that the organizing

symbolism is presented where all can see, though the general public still cannot understand it.

By [1590], Shakespeare had written his first play.



lieutenant-spphic Follow

shakespeare is not pretentious. fans of
shakespeare are pretentious. shakespeare is
twelve hundred dirty jokes string together by
increasingly ridiculous plotlines and
increasingly homosexual characters. don't let
the archaic language fool you

#textpostin' #shakespeare

, notes



And the use of public entertainment media as a method of distributing non-overt organizing symbolism was absolutely on.

[Two years later](#), Robert Greene's *Groatworth of Wit* famously calls Shakespeare an 'upstart crow'. He attacks Shakespeare as lacking originality since he borrows ideas from others for his own plays.

But of course, originality and even entertainment wasn't the primary motivator. Getting out the organizing symbolism was. So long as the general public would accept his work as entertainment, it could continue to be lauded indefinitely. Indeed, among much of the apostate system it still is. Never mind that so much of it was just espionage stuff pulled together with some bawdy humor; it's come to be respected as an inherently erudite tradition, particularly among the 'nobility' and 'more cultured' members of society. Heck, even his *Romeo and Juliet* is, and that was originally presented as a farcical comedy about the folly of youthful romance. The apostate system has conditioned the general public to revere yesteryear's equivalent of memes as some form of high art and culture.

By fifteen ninety-nine, Shakespeare's plays are performed in London's [Globe] theater. Everyone today knows that, and the symbolism of that name shouldn't be lost on any of My readers by now.

But why did this 'upstart crow' who was busily plagiarising other peoples' ideas gain such major and sudden notoriety? He was getting a boost from the Jesuits around him (per their non-overt system of 'merit', which I'll explain in a moment) because he was actively "broadcasting" their organizing symbolism for them. But that's the hypothesis; let's consider some of the argument for it presented by F. Tupper Saussy in his globalism analytics treatise, 'Rulers of Evil'.

'The first Jesuit theatre was performed in Vienna in 1555 nearly forty years before the emergence of Shakespeare. It was instantly popular and quickly spread to other parts of Europe. Between 1597 and 1773 more than five hundred Jesuit theatricals were staged in the lower Rhine regions alone. Jacob Bidermann's play Cenodoxus ('Newfangled Beliefs'), a point-by-point rebuttal of Luther's teachings, proved the power of entertainment to achieve political reform.'

'In England, Jesuit theatre was not known as such because of Queen Elizabeth's statute making it a capital crime to be, or even to assist, a Jesuit within her orbit. But if the purpose of Jesuit theatre was to capture that share of man's spiritual attention which might otherwise have been directed toward the Bible, then England certainly produced the greatest Jesuit playwright of them all. Shakespeare occupies us with the human process in a way that subtly marginalizes the Bible - exactly pursuant to the Jesuit mission. Shakespearean characters do preach, and they preach a religion, but it is not the Gospel of Jesus Christ. It is the gnostic illumination of Medici learning that Shakespeare preaches, the stuff of Jesuit schools. Not surprisingly, the secret tradition of Templarism claims Shakespeare, at least the writer of his plays, to have been a Rosicrucian steeped in Medici learning.'

'The philosophic ideals promulgated throughout Shakespearean plays distinctly demonstrate their author to have been thoroughly familiar with certain doctrines and tenets peculiar to Rosicrucianism; in fact, the profundity of the Shakespearean productions stamps their creator as one of the illuminati of the ages... Who but a Platonist, a Qabbalist, or a Pythagorean could have written The Tempest, Macbeth, Hamlet, or The Tragedy of Cymbeline? Who but one deeply versed in Paracelsian lore could have conceived A Midsummer Night's Dream?'

The Jesuits' efforts weren't just limited to Shakespeare, either. A very systematized agenda was at work.

[\[1589\]](#) A Catholic named Giambattista della Porta who was involved with Jesuit works described how to scare people with a projected image using a precursor to the 'magic lantern'. This was to be the start of a modern trend of using this 'new' technology for occultist purposes.

Of course, this wasn't actually 'new technology' nor even a particularly new implementation of it. The Greek temple cults had been using the same principle for ages, projecting images onto cave walls to freak out their initiates. All the Jesuits did was bring this old proprietary technology of the Babylonian Kabbalists into the public domain, developing an existing idea and repurposing it to satisfy a new agenda.

[1635] Jesuit Cardinal Athanasius Kircher invents the megaphone.

[1646] The same Cardinal Kircher presents research on the 'magic lantern', precursor to the movie projector.

The Jesuits it seems had quite an intense motivation to get into showbiz.

The proliferation of non-overt social networks organized through symbolism became the apostate's major purpose for theater, then continued via the tradition of the music hall, into the beginnings of radio, and later what we now know as modern media. In fact this trend wasn't limited to just the literal States; it was particularly massive throughout Britain's media establishments, and if you want to evaluate a large dataset of Jesuit and Babylonian Kabbalist symbolism you need look no further than the content presented there throughout, and even today. Since the aristocracy of Britain 'sold out' to the Vatican openly, publicly, provably, officially and permanently back in [1213] with [King John's 'Great' Concession](#) (thus making him Britain's last genuine king), the whole government apparatus has been in keeping and very active complicity with the agendas of the Jesuits and the Babylonian Kabbalists for which Rome is a franchise. So it would only make sense that the government-subsidized British Broadcasting Corporation is also quite systematically collusive with those, and that's exactly what we find with the symbolism presented via their overt content on a continual basis. A large dataset provides for clinching and definite confirmation, particularly once you already know the organizations involved and take the time to research and learn enough about them to get a context for all the recurring symbols presented by their media content.

What had originally been designed as a discreet messaging protocol for infiltration, subversion and espionage had instead become a practice that was presented throughout apostate system entertainment media internationally, the equivalent of an all-singing, all-dancing spook who mugged for the spotlight everywhere he could manage it. It's reminiscent of what's been said about the clichéd behavior of James Bond and the protagonists in a plethora of spy thrillers: if any actual spook behaved in such an ostentatious and attention-seeking manner, he'd be summarily terminated immediately. But the formula was not entirely without benefit to the apostate system; it bought them a significant chunk of time... at a price to be determined later.

The strategy allowed for them to become more influential and widespread, if non-overt. And with that, the overt activities could be temporarily halted [and the apparent influence of Rome made to seem 'vanquished'](#), which would naturally put people at their ease and encourage complacency. This was going on in the mid- to late seventeenth hundreds, and by [1809] Master-degree Freemason Napoleon Bonaparte dissolved the papal states and reincorporated Rome into his French Empire. This was certainly a force, as it was Rome's own Jesuits and Libertines who'd pulled off the massive 'regime change' public fraud misnamed the French 'Revolution' in [1789], and Freemasonry was merely the credibility and occasionally patsy franchise of the Jesuits anyway. But it served to lull the public into relaxation about Rome, just as the literal States were being established as a sort of holding tank for multicontents. If you rejected the Vatican, were into Protestantism or wanted genuine common law and freedom reasserted once again, the States were *the* place to be. And with the mass inrush of settlers *just* as the

Jesuits were getting systematically expelled from practically every civilized nation on the planet, it wasn't a particularly daunting task to reinvent yourself as a Freemason and show up. After all, you didn't have to state your views and allegiances openly when you could organize non-overtly via symbolism. And that's how the literal United States was formed; lots of outraged immigrants who voluntarily left Europe for what, unbeknownst to them was a strategically-designed holding tank where they could be subverted at the Jesuits' leisure, and hordes of Jesuits presenting as Freemasons eager to resume their multi-level marketing campaign of infiltration and subversion all over again.

That's what Rome's 'doubling down' into non-overt organizing symbolism bought them, and it allowed for continuity of the organization and even comparatively rapid proliferation for quite a while. They could be as discreet as they wanted, since the general public wasn't onto them and if necessary they could always use Freemasonry's symbolism as their alibi, because they'd 'layered' their organizing symbolism with that of Freemasonry. Freemasonry's symbolism is always, in My experience, 'layered' with that of the Jesuits and indeed their Babylonian Kabbalist parent group. The Jesuits' symbolism is found either with or without that of Freemasonry, but always with that of the Babylonian Kabbalists. And that of the Babylonian Kabbalists is found either or with or without the others. This makes it a fairly easy matter to see who owns what.

And that's the crux of all this symbolism in the media. It bought them time and allowed their influence to grow unnoticed, but once it's noticed it tends to have similar drawbacks. We now for example have a massive stockpile of centuries of their organizing symbolism accumulated in the media of practically every nation. When the organizations are known and their symbolism exposed, the evidence for what they've done, and indeed who is affiliated with what, becomes insurmountable. It's no longer a conspiracy 'theory', because it can be proven... and their strategy of non-overt organizing can be traced back to the same parent groups, overt Rome and non-overt Babylonian Kabbalists.

It'll be interesting to see whether the general public thinks systematically defrauding them out of their Divinely-given right to having agency in their own lives and societies is any better than the brute force Rome decided to start deprecating when it stopped being the overt Roman Empire. *Both* forms of strategies are wrongdoing. It seems that organizationally it just didn't care about that, so long as the onus couldn't be noticed and correctly attributed to it.

It reminds Me of something the papacy was internationally cited as saying a little over a year ago regarding outside critics:

*[Mr. Bergoglio] said he welcomed 'loyal' criticism that leads to introspection and dialogue. Such 'constructive' criticism shows a love for the church, he said. But he added his ideologically driven critics don't really want a response but merely to **'throw stones and then hide their hand.'***

And that would be quite wrong indeed.

It's encouraging to find confirmation that the apostate system at least *knows* it's wrong.

'Let there be dialogue, correction if there is some error. But the path of the schismatic is not Christian,' he added.

Dialogue with those who continue to Choose a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is unfeasible, since they've jettisoned the very basis of sincere and reliable conversation by definition. But a purely overt, sincere, open and honest conversation with the People themselves is to what I've been relegated. As far as correction, a retroactive correction by the telepaths and propheciers (or by the Divine Creator of us all) is what's appropriate. Overt, fair justice by, for and of the People is what we're left with in the meantime.

That necessarily means showing the People how to achieve it.

From selling drugs to selling ideologies

We've already seen organized crime's involvement with the Vatican, and how members of organized crime families would even become members of the papacy. When it's just starting out in a region, organized crime's traditional business model typically involves taking legitimate or illegitimate human desires, and devising supposedly 'anti-Establishment' or anti-government means of circumventing regulations against it. We see that with gambling, where it's made 'illegal' in most areas while a few areas friendly to their interests decide to 'legalize it'. We see it also with recreational substance 'prohibitions' instituted by governments. In short, for much of their business model to work effectively there either has to be legitimate laws implemented against some genuinely illicit human desire, or illegitimate and oppressive contrabanning of some legitimate human interest and the accompanying Divinely-conferred rights to participate in it openly. At least at first, their support base is necessarily limited because the average person doesn't usually have much of an inclination to profit at the illegitimate expense of oppressing his neighbors. So to really get started, there generally has to be some oppression of legitimate rights within a society. That took a while to implement here in the literal States, because our common law basis had at least given us a foundation of how God-given rights worked. It was definitely subverted in some areas, including the unconscionable treatment of the First Nations Peoples and the residual implementation of slavery which had started when we were colonies under the British Empire [another franchise of Rome, as John's 'Great' Concession of 1213 had established] rather than living by the common law which we'd had back in England and which our People so desperately wanted here. But for organized crime to proliferate throughout the literal States, government oppression against God-given rights had to be implemented on a widespread basis first as a logistical prerequisite.

We saw that with the unconstitutional alcohol 'Prohibition' enacted in [1920]. The faux-'Christian' subverts had been directed to start taking on a particularly dowdy position, and in a fit of feigned moral superiority started clamoring for the government to impose alcohol bans which necessarily violated the God-given rights of the People to buy anything they liked, so long as it didn't violate the rights of others. As we'll see, the bans themselves violated rights, and per our common law basis the violation of someones' God-given rights is the essence of what 'crime' *is*.

This should come as quite a lesson to modern pseudo-moralists who want to impose their views upon others using what is ultimately the force of arms of the government. Our common law doesn't attempt to 'pre-avoid crime' by dictating and legislating the behavior of your neighbor, since that itself would be crime. No, since God-given rights are involved we only have a legitimate interest once someones' God-given rights *have already been violated*. The proper response at that juncture is to haul the offending party into a common law courtroom and have a jury determine whether someones' rights have indeed been violated, and what the restitution to the victim is. Where sufficient restitution is not possible, capital punishment is then considered. That's how we do it per the common law system we so desperately wanted, and established here. The principle remains as valid today with so-called 'gun control' decrees as it does with, say, abortion. In both instances, violating a human's God-given right to life is already

a crime. If the penalties for that aren't sufficient to act as a deterrent, the solution is to increase the penalties and the effectiveness of enforcement until they *are* a deterrent.

Why did I call the Liquor Prohibition 'unconstitutional', when it was enacted as a supposed Amendment to the Constitution? Because at least in theory, the Constitution was established by our People as a blueprint and delegation of authority to the government of public servants and representatives we were creating. By the time the Prohibition 'Amendment' was enacted, that was self-evidently lacking. We'd started to have legislators expanding their Constitutional limits and the authorities we'd delegated to them by adding onto the Constitution itself. At that point the Constitution's limitations on government authority become meaningless, because it can be reinterpreted or added onto by public servants themselves. This is a trend which has only continued today, with various unconstitutional agencies like the TSA, the NSA, the BATF, the IRS, Homeland Security, and so many others. All of those are void for lack of delegated authority from the People themselves.

Authorities beyond those delegated to the government by its People are null and void. The courts themselves have ruled this, although not recently.

*'An unconstitutional act is not law;
it confers no rights;
it imposes no duties;
affords no protection;
it creates no office;
it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed.'*

- The Supreme Court, *Norton vs. Shelby County*

Since my neighbor Joe's rights are recognized in our common law system as God-given, I as his neighbor have no right to transgress against them. That would be criminal. Someone else doesn't have a right to transgress against them either, and even if we 'gang up' on Joe as a majority we *still* don't have a right to violate them. As such, we lack the authority to delegate to government the authority to violate them. And government has in law only the authorities delegated to it by the People. That's how a common law system works.

So the apostate system managed to get a great many supposedly devout and moral people to chuck our common law basis of God-given rights out, supposing that in doing so they were being '*great Christians*' and making '*a more moral society*'. What were the actual results?

Blackmailing, of course, proliferated across the country. And with it, the influence of stereotypical organized crime. Their financial profits and their personnel numbers just grew, as did their organizational effectiveness, and they of course diversified their portfolios by expanding into more blatantly oppressive forms of genuine crime.

The proliferation of organized crime that'd been happening even before the 'Prohibition' was used as sufficient reason for the federal government to institute *yet another* unconstitutional agency to 'solve' it called the Federal Bureau of Investigation, ostensibly established 'to thwart

organized crime'. [How ya doin' on that a century later, guys?] President Roosevelt appointed a man named Charles Bonaparte who 'just so happened' to be a Knight of Malta, to be his Attorney General and devise a plan to sort out crime in general and organized crime in particular. What was known as the Bureau of Investigations was his brainchild, a product of the Knights of Malta.

This was to be the start of a trend in the literal States which was in keeping with Rome's international scheme: to have their organized crime franchise in supposed opposition and contention against their Knight of Malta franchise. If you think the idea that organized crime is a franchise of the Vatican sounds odd, their own [Tony Gambino has publicly admitted it](#) [interview still available [here](#)]. It seems a lot of sincere, well-intentioned Catholics out there have some major rethinking to do.

I've [already written](#) about how the Knights of Malta, with plenty of assistance from the Jesuits, brought us modern fascism in Germany, and how much of the public support base for it was established by a non-overtly organized system of downlow homosexual recruiting which their members wouldn't admit publicly, and which was a social engineering mechanism they'd inherited from the Babylonian Kabbalists, in use since at least ancient Canaan. Interestingly, both Germany's fascist effort and Russia's 'competing' organized crime-controlled Marxist effort were funded by many of the same financiers including 'Guaranty Trust Company' and 'W.A. Harriman and Co.'. In [1917] the Marxists' Bolshevik 'Revolution' was set off, establishing and subsidizing the new Soviet Union. In [1933], the Jesuits and Knights of Malta got Hitler into power and established and subsidized National Socialism. [1939], both of these overt franchises clashed with World War II, the Zionist personnel in Germany had plenty of non-overt forewarning to get clear before things were set off there, and it was predominantly sincere, well-intentioned, unsubverted Jews who were left caught up in it all, with the result that Judaism which remained became much more proportionately Zionist-subverted and controlled. The War of course generated tremendous profits for those underwriting it, and the resulting synthesis afterwards was the establishment of the post-World War II 'United Nations' in order 'to prevent anything like this from ever happening again'. So as we can see, many agenda items were checked off as a result of the engineered conflict between these two franchises of Rome and Babylon. And as we'll see, it's become part of their standard operating formula even now.

The War ends, and in [1945] after years of desperately fighting to stop Germany's fascists, Operation Paperclip brings scads of them over here and shepherds them into the CIA. The CIA, another unconstitutional agency, had been designed by Catholic Knight of Malta and former OSS founder and director William 'Wild Bill' Donovan. As [this article](#) in *The Atlantic* put it, 'OSS became one of the most poorly run agencies in American history.' 'Nowhere were Donovan's flaws more evident than in his hiring practices.' 'The OSS roster was lousy with Mellons, Du Ponts, Morgans, and Vanderbilts.' 'Even more than aristocrats, however, Donovan loved misfits, and he staffed OSS with a bizarre array of talent. There were mafia contract killers and theology professors. There were bartenders, anthropologists, and pro wrestlers. There were orthodontists, ornithologists, and felons on leave from federal penitentiaries.' 'Observers sometimes referred to OSS as 'St. Elizabeths,' after the well-known Washington, D.C., lunatic asylum. One top official there admitted that "OSS may indeed have employed quite

a few psychopathic characters.” All this begs the question, if he was just so incompetent why was Donovan allowed to retain his position, let alone given the responsibility for subsequently founding the CIA? Clearly there was another agenda at work here, one in alignment with that of the Mellons, Du Ponts, Morgans and Vanderbilts, not to mention the papacy. Before even founding the CIA he was allowed to meet with Eugenio Maria Giuseppe Giovanni Pacelli (stage name: ‘Pope Pius XII’) and made Knight Grand Cross of the Order of St. Sylvester.

‘In [1941], the year before the OSS was officially constituted, Donovan forged a close alliance with Father Felix Morlion, founder of a European Catholic intelligence service known as ‘Pro Deo’. When the Germans overran western Europe, Donovan helped Morlion move his base of operations from Lisbon to New York. From then on, Pro Deo was financed by Donovan, who believed that such an expenditure would result in valuable insight into the secret affairs of the Vatican ... Pope Pius’ decoration of Wild Bill Donovan marked the beginning of a long-standing, intimate relationship between the Vatican and U.S. intelligence that continues to the present day.’ [Mother Jones, [1983]]

So here we have a man who knows a lot of everybody, doesn’t seem to care much about working with criminals, exhibits a reckless disregard for how American taxpayer money is spent, has the definite favor of the Vatican, gets intimate with one of their intelligence agencies, and just a few years later founds an American intelligence agency of his own which continues to this day.. and which presents on its emblem both the Babylonian [Eagle] and the Greek [Vergina Sun], pertaining to their [Athena], idol associated with wisdom and battle strategy. It also presents a shield. Overt heraldry symbolic definitions aside, a shield often indicates among other things a franchise position with Rome. When we also consider how many ‘important personage’ Germans were brought over and given refuge after the War by cramming them into the CIA, we start to get some idea of what the agenda was.

Perhaps it will help if we consider in retrospect all the narcotics trafficking the CIA so routinely gets caught pulling, particularly cocaine importation and distribution. Because that’s what we’re going to consider next: the recreational substance distribution in the States done by the Italian organized crime groups and the Knights of Malta. The former primarily distribute the heroin, and the latter the cocaine and methamphetamines, building on their discovery of it back in Germany. And when you build a loyal (or at least intensely dependent, same thing) user base, you start to also build a large-scale recruiting effort and support base. What we have then is two massive concurrent public recruiting efforts in the literal States, each performed by franchises of the Vatican, itself a franchise of the Babylonian Kabbalists.

Since the unlawful ‘Prohibition Amendment’, the public’s ideas about whether and how much the government had the authority to regulate their private lives had definitely shifted to accommodate its lawless behavior and this included ‘prohibition’ decrees against any recreational substance. In addition to enabling the formation of a massively financially lucrative prison system, this also means that users of these substances were always susceptible to getting caught and jailed by the cops and the bogus court system that had replaced the common law courts and juries. Never mind that these fiat ‘courts’ didn’t even begin to satisfy the criteria in our law necessary to have the authority in law to fine or imprison, and never mind that fiat police departments concocted by the legislators also lacked the necessary delegation of authority from We, the People to make them lawful, and that the only lawful local law enforcement in the literal states had only and ever been a Sheriff elected by, and accountable

only to, the People, and those from among an armed citizenry he deputized at need. No, the People were apparently sufficiently miseducated or unmotivated to recognize and to reject the lawless nature of the situation that was built around them. For whatever reason, the general public had abandoned their Divinely-conferred rights and the limited government they'd established to ensure they were upheld against all comers. So rather than strike down the recreational substance prohibitions, they were relegated to finding ways to evade capture by the very government they'd originally established.

As it happened, traditional organized crime and the Knights of Malta were only too eager to proffer them a means for that evasion. 'Drug slang' was developed, ostensibly 'to evade the cops', and this developed quite systematically into an elaborate system of drug user symbolism. Two systems in fact; one for each distribution franchise. In addition to inner-city, lower-class overt drug sales, the distribution model crept into suburbia and the middle and upper-middle class. Respectable people with respectable jobs and houses stated getting into it as part of a growing customer base. Of course theoretically, arrest could lose them that job, that house and that comfortable lifestyle, but this wasn't much of a concern because the organizing symbolism and a fair amount of judiciously limited usage patterns could enable them to evade arrest [from the very police they themselves had been funding!] indefinitely. Learning the appropriate organizing symbolism was just a matter of gleaning it from more experienced users and dealers, and from its use throughout the media. It seemed that despite the encroachments of their God-given rights by an increasingly subverted government, Americans could nevertheless manage to get by with a little bit of freedom and comfort to sustain them in their personal lives... or at least to lull them into self-involved complacency until the subversion effort could be finalized.

The appropriate response of course would've been to reassert the vital fundamentals of common law, God-given rights, and a government with only the limited authorities it had been delegated by the People. The restoration of common law courts and juries, the use of them to keep government officials and the rest of the public servants accountable to the law and the People, and prevalent active participation in the state militias once more. But I suppose lots of things become obvious in retrospect.

Because what trended next was the gradual formation of calculated strategic division between the customer base of each franchise. If you were the customer using the services and the symbolism of one, you'd be excluded from using the services of the other. Choose. And with this, each franchise began to develop its own distinct 'culture', complete with nascent ideologies, social trends, slang terms, and even various looks to promote a member's affiliation. Organized crime was doing quite well in terms of market share (not to mention biologically-induced customer loyalty) right up until about the early Eighties when the CIA brought out its new product: rock cocaine. This was massively successful, and much of the American media of the early to mid Eighties was cluttered with this exciting new symbolism and imagery: at last, there was a non-addictive alternative to heroin that was actually intense enough to be a hard drug! Sycophancy to organized crime wasn't the only viable option anymore! Ain't it great? So much of the peppy enthusiasm of Eighties media either derived from, or was at least presented to promote, this non-overt campaign.

With two viable substance franchises, each with their own loyal subcultures, an 'in sherpens in' trend took place. According to their symbolism in the media record, each customer base was offered some kind of incentive for catching and reporting to users of their 'opposite number' customer base, complete with plenty of new organizing symbols to use to discreetly signal their intent to do so, if they could manage to pass it by their 'opposite number' personnel unawares. The result was an increasingly sophisticated use of the organizing symbolism from the personnel on both 'sides'; members would frequently present a very convincing appearance of being members of the 'opposing' camp, keeping their actual affiliation discreet on even a non-overt basis. Those who managed to pick up on the use of this elaborate language of organizing symbolism and use it successfully could become financially successful as dealers even in suburban middle and upper-middle class neighborhoods, complete with their own loyal coterie of users. They could then direct their loyal customers into participating in all manner of things, including sophisticated and nuanced strategies for exerting their influence collectively. The music video trend of teams of people dancing in synchronization, or the main performer having plenty of dancers behind them, didn't come out of nowhere. Those who learned more sophisticated use of the organizing symbolism became successful; those who didn't, or were too inexperienced to avoid being preyed upon by more experienced members of the 'opposite number' franchise, found themselves doing stints in jail as just another number in an appalling national incarceration statistic.

As the beginning effects of social, political and economic collapse started to take effect, discretionary income levels began to decrease. The middle and upper-middle classes couldn't afford the cocaine habit they'd had back in the Eighties. Not to worry, the Knights of Malta began to deprecate their comparatively spiffy-imaged cocaine subset for the most part, and replaced it with an emphasis on the cheaper methamphetamine market, along with a less together and civilized image to accompany it.

But this wasn't the only widespread social engineering mechanism in effect. You'll remember that I'd mentioned the Knights of Malta having deployed quite a different mechanism back in Germany, one they'd inherited from the Babylonian Kabbalists that had been in use since at least ancient Canaan. Even without a recreational substance product to sell, these guys had been using a symbolically-organized download sexual recruiting scheme [that I've described elsewhere](#). Of course ostensibly in an effort to put a stop to it, organized crime had developed their own equivalent version, with its own symbols and proprietary etiquette. Result, these two franchises now had for distinct non-overt social recruiting mechanisms between them, with some amount of overlap.

Eventually, this massive recruitment campaign would have to end. A non-overt organization can only take on so many members before it gets too large to maintain its secrecy. Eventually somebody, like Myself, is going to notice all the organizing symbolism, which of course is exactly what happened. And statistically, it only takes a few such people to expose the whole thing. And a totalitarian cryptocracy doesn't particularly *want* every member of the general public aware of and actively complicit in what it's doing anyway. No, at some point the recruitment and the perks offered by the various franchises such as download sex, recreational substances, and even things like insider trading, have to come to a stop and an emphasis on getting personnel to learn strict obedience *even without* those entry-level perks being available

anymore must replace it. Preparations for this had been happening among the more experienced users for decades, when all the 'sex and drugs' organizing symbolism had been adulterated with another 'layer' of symbolism, that describing the Choice for, and loyalty to, a counter-Divine Will basis. Anyone who'd also metriculated into that 'layer' of the organization would recognize its use, while those who had not wouldn't be familiar with the organizing symbolism and would interpret what was being said as just another bit of 'sex and drugs' symbolism, with no apparent distinction. But the motives of these counter-Divine Will aligned personnel were quite different; they'd graduated from seeking the entry-level perks, could evade recognition of the entry-level users just as the entry-level users had used organizing symbolism to evade detection by the cops, and what these counter-Divine Will based personnel were after was promotion within the organization by doing whatever the current agenda items were.

It's a concept that Roman Catholicism has diagrammed with its overt system of '*merit*'. Their overtly-presented formula works pretty much like this: 'The Vatican has metaphysical storehouses of the accumulated spiritual *merit* accrued by all the saints and so on throughout their organizational lifespan. Thus, it's overflowing with spiritual value and many of its pious have accumulated much more of this *merit* than they actually need to get to Heaven in the afterlife. Consequently you can pray to the saints to give you some of their surplus *merit*, or get some of it to rub off on you by stroking the bones of dead saints, or earn it through benevolent works done for Roman Catholicism. Salvation by works, rather than salvation by faith.' If all this sounds a bit like the economic frauds of fractional reserve lending and debasing a money supply by removing the substance backing the currency until it eventually hyperinflates, there's a great reason for it: the Babylonian Kabbalists developed all of those formulas. So for Roman Catholicism, their system of overt *merit* is effectively hypothecated currency which they've managed to get their followers to accept as having genuine value. And when those followers do so, they give that hypothecated currency the same value as their lives, effort and loyalties. But this concept of *merit* has a non-overt application too. It's a diagram for those who are learning their non-overt system to look to, and learn how their non-overt promotion system functions. You accomplish the agenda items they direct using non-overt organizing symbolism, and you get the non-overt rewards of having yourself, or your estate and progeny, quietly promoted within the organization. More influence. More access to money and opportunities. And most importantly, enough loyalty gives personnel the trust and esteem from their seniors to gain access to more privileged 'layers' of organizing symbolism, so they can metriculate out of the bottom-rung franchises they'd originally been recruited into, and become personnel within the various parent groups. This last becomes particularly important when it comes to not getting outmaneuvered by the personnel of those parent groups, who organize on 'layers' of symbolism with which the bottom-rung franchise members are generally totally unaware. When the organization implements some new directive at the expense of a bottom-rung franchise, or perhaps decides to shut it down completely as it's outlived its usefulness to them, anyone still caught up in that franchise gets the result they get. A great example would be how the organizing symbolism of the Zionists in Germany alerted them to get out of the area well in advance of the fascism and its unconscionable resultants. Or how preferential members in Germany were salvaged and brought into the CIA after the War. Life is uncertain, and this is particularly so when the apostate system makes plans at the expense, and beyond the ken, of lower-rung franchise personnel. They appear to have actually made this into a sort of selling

point for loyalty, since few want to remain in an outmoded franchise or scenario which unbeknownst to them has been figuratively thrown to the wolves.

So these entry-level 'perks' like sex, drugs, insider trading and whatever else were abolished by decree in the Western countries where the recruitment campaign was ending. The closest I've been able to get a date on this, based on their organizing symbolism used in media is [nineteen-ninety] with the *Pet Shop Boys* music video for '*DJ Culture*'. It depicts the new effort to close both the downlow sexual recruiting methods, and the drug sales model on both 'sides', and establish more granular control among their personnel in order to transform them into a more respectable-seeming pseudo-aristocracy. It also starts to describe either the eradication of internal non-overt 'resistance' efforts against them, or the creation of 'purely for show' counterfeit overt resistance and 'revolution' efforts, or both. The timing on this might be a little off; the subversion effort in Britain tends to be significantly more advanced than that in the literal States, and their personnel typically more experienced. So they do tend to get comparatively earlier notification of large-scale plans and efforts in their media.

Notice that this 'substanceless' trend with the franchises now has their personnel actively competing in a constant effort to 'outloyal' one another, even though the original item of value has been all but removed from the equation. It now costs the apostate system effectively nothing to gain the non-overt loyalty of a plethora of personnel, aside from the original outlay of time, strategic deployment, and resources. For anyone supposing that I've been inventing all this stuff Myself, I present for your consideration that I'm just not that innovative. I'm not aware of a single individual who is. I present what I've gleaned, primarily through learning their organizing symbolism as used systematically throughout the media. If I were that innovative to devise a system like this, and provided I was amoral enough, I'd presumably be using it Myself rather than exposing it all to the general public. So the simple fact that I'm exposing it to the general public should demonstrate to anyone using common sense that not only *didn't* I invent this system Myself, but that I'm also moral enough to reject and expose it.

Alright, fine. So now you have two supposedly competing franchises, traditional organized crime and the Knights of Malta, each with inherently loyal personnel all trying to out-loyal each other, including trying to catch out any internal rebellion attempts. They're largely substance-free and unconcerned with the entry-level perks. And they'll enact practically anything they're directed to, en masse, via non-overt organizing symbolism. A lot of careful planning and strategy has been invested into establishing this scenario; what are they used for? What is the actual payoff for the organization here?

Quite a few things, ordinarily. For example:

- Sussing out internal disloyalty or external individuals organizing against the franchises or their parent groups
- Finding non-aligned organizations and individuals and collectively enticing them to 'sell out' to a counter-Divine Will position using information, gifts or service boons
- Infiltrating and subverting organizations, causes and efforts whose authority figures

have quietly 'sold out', but who can't yet admit it to their lower-rung personnel

- Localized 'cause stinking' or 'gang stinking' against targeted individuals
- Encouraging franchise personnel to gravitate to specific industries, such as the Knights of Malta proliferation within police departments and organized crime's predominance within the telecommunications and IT industries. With a preponderance of similarly-aligned personnel within a given sector of industry, enacting industry-specific agendas and objectives for their parent groups, hilarity ensues. Consider the results of someone receiving systematic negative attention from their local PD, or from their internet and cable providers. That's a lot of influence to have over someone's life, with no recourse on their part.

But with so much non-overtly organized, systematic control over the non-overt side of things, and growing influence over actual, tangible overt activities, the focus of the parent group can begin to shift onto heftier matters. Namely, the more important agenda item:

- Influencing the subversion of entire ideologies, emplacing new ones, and marginalizing what remains of any older and inconvenient ones

That includes putting out rhetoric, propaganda and sophistry which are expedient for the parent groups' agendas.

Now would be a great juncture to familiarize ourselves with the ideologies and positions these two major franchises tend to push in place of the recreational substances they used to.

Organized crime agenda items

<p style="text-align: center;"><i>'Social justice'</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Hyperliberalism</p> <p style="text-align: center;">LGBTQI++, <i>'Pride'</i></p> <p>Marxism, socialism, communism, anticapitalism</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Democrats</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>'Abortions for everyone!'</i></p> <p><i>'Free everything for everyone!'</i> [Massive taxation, state as master, no personal accountability, proto-socialism]</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Forced and enforced diversity and multiculturalism</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Female supremacism misrepresented as <i>'feminism'</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Minority ethnic advocacy misrepresented as <i>'equality'</i>; <i>'Black Lives Matter!'</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Open immigration policies</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>'Antif[redacted]scism'</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>'Eco-everything!'</i> [Intense government regulation of businesses, rampant taxation]</p>
--	---

Knights of Malta agenda items

Vigilante 'justice' [sic] sans due process and presumption of innocence [*'Everyone I don't like is a pedophile', 'People should be hunted down on the basis of unfounded rumor alone'*]

'Conservatism' including Mises Institute Austrian Economics, 'anarchocapitalism', 'voluntaryism'

'Straight Pride' [*'Y'all are just a buncha degenerates!'*]

Republicans, 'American Independent Party'

Traditional 'family values'

'Thin Blue Line', zealous support of police

Government 'bailouts' [read: buy-ins] of flailing corporations, quietly giving the government controlling interest in them. [*'Government and businesses working in partnership' is a large tenet of fascism... and not all that distinct from communism.*]

White 'Christian' male supremacism [minus any practiced alignment with the actual values of Jesus]

Gaining support in America's 'right-thinking Christian churchgoing folk', ruralism

'America First' nationalism, hypernationalism including supposed 'counter-globalism'

Counterfeit 'patriotism', 'Molon Labe', the Gadsden flag, **significant** military and militia involvement, 'Proud Boys'

Foreigners and nonwhite ethnicities as second-class citizens

No public or even private charitable support for those in need; [*'Pay your own way!'*]

Extreme deregulation of all businesses, typically using 'small business owners' to rationalize it

Some thoughts on a few of those:

'Voluntaryism' is just the Common Law system the States were founded on, with vital components taken out so it will stop working soon after purchase. The right of the People to delegate representatives and to form governments [which were never originally meant to violate rights or rule over the People], for example. Sounds great in theory, but in practice it'd be unavoidable to get around and people would just be re-establishing governments and delegated representatives anyway, out of sheer necessity. The distinction would be that then a whole new generation of people would be forming them on an *ad hoc* basis, ignorant of the foundational principles which would keep their new variant system fair and internally-consistent. And that's when the subversion of the original system would be complete, especially given that the People would be continually influenced by rhetoric being propagated by these non-overt social networks. The same applies to the absence of sacrosanct, 'God-given' rights; when rights are deemed only what's accepted on a 'voluntary' basis from the majority, what you'd then have is a shoddy and inherently unstable democracy with extra steps.

Open immigration rhetoric manages to just plain invent new supposed 'rights people have', such as the supposed right for anyone and everyone to travel to any country they like and live there, whenever they like. A simplified disproof of that can be shown when someones' God-given, and legitimate, right to travel would cause them to trespass onto the privately owned property of another. Rights end where their application would cause someone to encroach against the rights of someone else, and this has been standard for ages. So what isn't being heard or said by open immigration proponents is the fact that the land of a nation (particularly ours) is deemed collectively owned by its People. That means they have the authority to set and enforce immigration and naturalization policies, quotas, criteria and standards, and this authority was delegated to Congress back with the 1787 Constitution. Very little outcry was heard during the interim, until now when the apostate system's non-overtly coordinated social networks became functional enough to start dispensing sociopolitical rhetoric and propaganda. Beyond this specific issue, notice the trend in effect here to just plain invent bogus new supposed 'rights' when it's convenient for a given agenda, and to just as conveniently discount genuine existing rights which have been recognized for ages now by our Common Law system. That's been a standard technique in use for a number of topics, from **abortion** and **vigilante [in]justice** (which conveniently ignores the rights to presumed innocence and due process), to the 'believe women' rhetoric showing up in **rape** and **sexual harassment allegations** (never mind that all criminal accusations are supposed to have a sworn affidavit making the accuser criminally liable if their charges are found to be fabricated, and once again that right to presumed innocence until guilt has been proven), to violating the God-given right to individual and collective self-defense through 'banning guns' due to an imaginary 'right to feel safe'. God-given rights don't end where someone elses' 'feelings' begin; violating someones' rights by pre-legislating their behavior and enforcing it with the armaments of the state *is* crime.

Counterfeit ideologies about what rights people have and how they work are evidently meant to succeed, for however long the People choose to remain ignorant and unstudied about the Common Law system that was actually established in the States. This means that as long as the

general public continue to prevaricate between the same unworthy and coopted positions hyped by the organized crime and Knights of Malta franchises, they'll apparently continue to get some unworthy counterfeit version. Their only seeming choice is of which particular counterfeit they'd prefer.

I should probably mention the inherent outrage in the apostate system's use of the organized crime franchise to promote expanding 'civil rights', benefits and privileges for nonwhite citizens now, when it was their own system and methods which established **slavery** and dispreferential treatment of Irish and nonwhite peoples in the first place. When the very sociopolitical trends they'd concocted, and which were criminal, don't serve their organizational agendas, they simply have a franchise propagate some new counterideology (which still has nothing to do with actual God-given rights) to create a new countertrend, and rake in scads of perceived social credits in the process. That's compounded with their rhetoric about **'Defund the Police'** (who were never part of our Common Law system to begin with), and the hype regarding a supposed need to "reimagine" what could possibly be used as their replacement (never mind the humble Sheriffs which Common Law has always had, and which have been practically subverted into District Attorneys' lackeys; Sheriffs are elected by and solely accountable to the People themselves, and supported at need by deputization from a well-armed citizenry meaning that they can never become a paramilitarized force unto themselves, which leaves the People both law-abiding *and* free). Apparently the general public have become small children doing a crafts project, left to 'reimagine' extemporaneously what should be placed where in terms of government rather than having a well-established, fair and internally-consistent system of Common Law which has already provided a proven, solid and intensely effective solution.

Whether it's the organized crime's **'Eco-everything!'** or the Knights of Malta's **'Government buyouts [read: buy-ins] of flailing corporations'**, the result is effectively the same: increasing control by government over corporations to the extent that corporations have little to no option but to become essentially government franchises if they want to subsist. That would amount to the kind of state-run communism that, say, mainland China exerts over its businesses, with companies being more or less extensions of the state apparatus. In the case of 'Eco-everything!' however, the bribes and get-arounds that organized crime has typically been familiar with become the norm, and the result is so much routine soft corruption in eco-enforcement agencies that organized crime effectively gets a promotion into government on a systematic basis.

These comparatively extreme, and as the apostate media have so often described it, "polarized" issues and contentions are nothing new in kind; they're just intensified versions of the same formula that has been pulled on the last few generations of Americans. It's been a long time since the vast majority of the self-professing 'conservatives' even grew up learning the foundational principles of the Common Law system and limited government with only specific, People-delegated authorities they were supposed to be 'conserving'. Neither the organized crime agendas *nor* the Knights of Malta agendas manage to actually uphold the Common Law system that's been subverted over the last three hundred years or so; they merely proffer differing types of continued subversion of it. But to the Average Joe who's usually never bothered to learn about the genuine article, he's likely to accept whichever counterfeit seems closer to his own particular individual preferences and low-information value

system. It's not unlike the 'forced choice' proffered by a common stage magician; whichever of his options you choose, you've selected the one that he wants you to. And that in essence is what the apostate system's 'democracy' scheme has always been about.

Speaking of Common Law fundamentals and God-given rights, let's take a moment to evaluate organized crime's **'trans' agenda**. Setting aside what have recently become unstable and contentious issues now that statistically insignificant naturally-occurring instances of gender dysphoria have been hyped by these non-overtly organized social networks and corporate media until even prepubescent minors start identifying with it, let's evaluate what the subversion formula amounts to here and what the [perceived] organizational benefit is for the apostate system. A person has individual beliefs about themselves which others do not share. Alright fine, Common Law has no problem with that because no rights have been violated. But now society at large, and at its prompting increasingly government enforcement, start compelling those who *don't* share their beliefs to act as though they did. *That's* a violation of the rights of the second person, but when society at large and governments refuse to acknowledge it, those rights violations go unheeded. Society gets subverted into routinely pulling an organized crime [that is, a system of rights violations] against marginalized individuals who don't happen to accept the prevalent rhetoric and trending groupthink.

The major formula I'm getting at here is that under the trending formula, *acknowledgment of existing rights by government and the public ceases whenever it's deemed not to be consistent with the common good*. That means that God-given rights, which Common Law finds so fundamental, have been abandoned in favor of rights which are largely situationally-dependent and context-based. They become variable, depending on which particular varieties of public rhetoric are being put out at any given time. And those are entirely dependent upon which agenda items the apostate system has decided to attempt to implement. At that point, society ceases to recognize any genuine 'rights' at all; the concept has been effectively replaced with intensely conditional limited permissions and privileges from the state or the majority, liable to be revised or revoked at any time. We've seen the same new formula trending with the recent Covid responses; individual God-given rights have been summarily disregarded and violated 'for the common good'. The public benefit is construed to come as the first priority, with individual God-given rights coming second if ever. This is standard Roman Catholic social teaching, which is evidently the point of origin of this trend (particularly given that both the organized crime and the Knights of Malta are its franchises). What's construed as 'the common good' is of course defined by Rome, and dictated to both the governments involved and the non-overt social network of propagandists. The result is a massively inconstant bunch of rhetoric and sophistry dictating to society who is allowed to do what, and when. This is what the 'social justice' [sic] which trended a few years back was all about; the replacement of the concept of God-given rights with story-driven rationalizations and faux-moralism. Even the Wiki page for 'social justice' acknowledges that the term was concocted and the concept propagated by the Jesuits, mentioning a prototype version developed by Rome's Augustine of Hippo and a few vaguely similar concepts presented by the ancient Greeks. The moral here is that under this formula, God-given rights are crowded out by the 'common good', and Rome dictates what that 'common good' is presumed to be. This accounts for why Rome found something in the 'social justice' formula and latched onto it hardcore. It also accounts for why we've been seeing it trend so much recently via its franchises.

'Influencers' ... and the influenced

Since we're discussing overtly a social engineering mechanism that's been implemented and organized via a non-overt basis, I suppose we'll need to start finding convenient words and terms to describe the thing. Let's describe these lower-rung rhetoric and propaganda dispensers as *'influencers'*, since what they're doing is effectively little different than the kiddies on social media hyping the latest trendy consumer merchandise through their videos and other content. They get comped when they do so in what Roman Catholicism describes as 'merit', that is, better promotion and visibility of their content, and promotion within the organization. They start to get recognized by the non-overtly organized personnel around them as better, more attuned representatives of the various agendas and factions of the apostate system, and as they get reposted, cited, and sometimes their names promoted as well, one of the effects of this is that their content and promoted ideologies and rhetoric get encountered by a *lot* of average, ordinary, non-collusive people in the process. Their rhetoric gets a wider audience, and not only propagates... it 'sells'. Great swaths of the general public become the 'influenced'. Eventually the general public start defending and advocating for the same rhetoric, arguments and sophistry they've been hearing again and again. These 'new' ideas seem to have grown authentically and organically, because they don't seem to have come from any centrally-organized source. By all appearances, someone thought up this idea here, someone else revised and modified that concept there, and little by little the general ideology emerges according to a pattern that was in actual fact already well-contrived in advance.

I'm going to correlate this against another existing term, this from the hacker community. In this community there are the rare minority of intensely knowledgeable people who plan out, think up and implement some very innovative hacks. These hacks are then presented as automated programs or 'scripts', which are then reposted hither and yon because they're very useful. But the vast majority of people implementing them have no idea of how to actually hack, or to plan out, think up and implement these kinds of functions themselves; they're reliant upon the very skilled minority of hackers to do all that stuff for them. These lowly users are completely dependent upon whatever new methods have been planned and established for them to use. These kinds of users are called **'script kiddies'**. And this is exactly the kind of phenomena we encounter when it comes to these 'influencers' and the ideological products they promote. The vast majority of them have no idea how the larger issues work, or what counterarguments of any substance to make when their rhetoric is disputed by those who do. They'll tend to devolve into baseless scoffery, repetition of groupthink mantras, and just plain abuse because they've been caught short and have nothing else to use. All they've learned is how to glean from non-overt organizing symbolism the ideological agenda items the apostate system wants them to promote, and how to promote them in return for rewards from their preferred franchise within the apostate system.

Between these 'influencer script kiddies' and the great swaths of the general public they've managed to influence, this is the primary reason why genuine arguments based on actually

valid principles can hardly get a look in via social media anymore, or in person for that matter. Because when you use your God-given right to free speech to put out ideas which don't align with the prevalent groupthink, you're typically either up against the positions of the 'influencers' or the 'influenced'. The influencers only know to cheer on the party line the most, the loudest, in an effort to get themselves promoted for their loyalty to the cause and to out-loyal the rest of the hordes out there, and they'll blithely have abusive, content-free jeering and mockery-based interactions. This low-grade behavior is then normalized and interpreted as an acceptable example by the influenced, who *also* have little if anything to respond with, and will adopt the same behavior patterns.

This is why modern conversations with the People via social media and increasingly offline too, have been about as effective as trying to argue with a bunch of five year-olds. We have the right to free speech, yes, and the apostate system doesn't appear to be implementing many draconian measures to oppress that. Indeed, it has even brought the public some admittedly phenomenal modern information technology which *should* enable us to share, compare, evaluate and consider ideas and views more effectively than ever before in human civilization. What Gutenberg's press did for prevalent religious understanding and literacy rates, the internet *should* have done for public debate, learning and critical thinking, but as we can see rather plainly that hasn't been the case and the opposite appears to have been what's happened. Not for want of new technology, but instead because as the apostate system has provided that it's been quietly removing something else from mass psychology and social development as the result of its organized subversion efforts, whether that was intentional or not.

That's been My primary motivation for writing this exposure piece. In fact I could easily have titled it, '*Why We Can't Have Nice Things on the Internet*'. We've got an international methodology in place, not visible to most, which emplaces ideological and sociopolitical agenda items ('groupthink') however it wants, and yet has managed to make effectively presenting any *other* positions and getting traction with them all but impossible. And when an organization has managed to establish a *de facto* ideological monopoly like that, it has made genuine, legitimate and Divine Will-aligned efforts for restoration of the situation all but impossible, or at least unachievable without either approval *by* the organization or exposure *of* it so that everyone can see the methods being implemented and their effectiveness is neutralized. It's been suppression of free speech without the *appearance* of that suppression, which would of course garner all sorts of negative PR. Anyone genuinely benevolent and civic-minded is up against a horde of cultists and zealots, increasingly abusive, and extremist enough that they're not all that distinct from a stereotypical rampaging mob.

Allowing free speech but systematically denying someone meaningful access to an audience, by which we mean functional human beings who will participate in mutually-respectful, reason and evidence based debate with critical thought applied, is like confining someone to an area with only inanimate objects and telling them to, '*Go ahead, have all the free speech you want!*'. On some prominent digital platforms, there's been a trend implemented enabling users to 'downvote' comments they regard as unworthy, ostensibly in an effort to use human competence to reduce spam and useless content and promote things which are genuinely

worthwhile. Predictably, this feature has become abused so that users 'downvote' anything with which they disagree or find objectionable into oblivion where it cannot be seen by the vast majority, and thus groupthink and trending, agenda-motivated ideologies prevail like a constant mantra into the mass consciousness. Once again, the phenomenon appears to come organically from the individual users themselves rather than from any centrally-organized source, and so it's so far gone uncriticized. And people have gotten so used to memes, which in addition to supplying a regular flow of non-overt organizing symbolism have made it unfeasible to present 'longreads' like this exposure piece, or anything substantial enough to provide a context with which the Average Joe isn't familiar, to actually get significantly read. Meanwhile the proliferation of ultrabrief memes, typically designed by 'influencers', collectively present their own subverted contexts and reinforce each other until their contexts and ideological trends are normalized. Anything which disagrees with the prevalent groupthink is jeered at and 'downvoted', and anything big enough to establish an unfamiliar context is dismissed as '*Too Long; Didn't Read*'.

A totalitarian organization with an ideological monopoly is a terrifying thing, and all the more so when that monopoly is unrecognized. I thought the influenced around Me deserved the ability to recognize it, so we could once again utilize our God-given right to free speech in a meaningful way.

The thing about the 'script kiddie' formula is that once whatever exploit their scripts use has been recognized and solved, their scripts no longer work. These influencers would be left ineffective, or at least relegated to getting some new scripts from their wholesalers. The general public have some options, some means of reasserting themselves, and I'd like to equip them for that if they'll let Me. I think we all deserve better, per Divine Will.

The ideological usurpation formula

With all this exposure of ideological subversion and usurpation, it would probably be helpful to equip the public with a concept of how the formula generally works. Influencers most certainly didn't start with modern social media, it just made them vastly more effective. Their numbers proliferated as the non-overt social networks spread; before this influencers were more often limited to people in recognized authority positions: respected politicians, the educated 'elite', pastors, cult leaders, literary and dramatic figures and so on.

Since I've noticed instances of ideological subversion and usurpation which *have already greatly been successful*, let's bunch a few of them together and then extrapolate the general strategy that's been deployed to make it happen:

Some existing ideological usurpation examples

Common Law to Roman Civil Law

Scriptural Nativity to Christmas

Jesus and Scripture-based Christianity to some militant variant which has little if anything to do with either of them

Saturday to Sunday

Sheriffs to police

Remedying your injury to the victim, to '*repaying your debt to society*', to having convictions follow you around for the rest of your life

These are some of the major instances which are more likely to be familiar to the majority of readers. To start off, let's get into each of them a little so everyone will have some familiarity when we extrapolate for commonalities from them.

Common Law to Roman Civil Law

Much of the motive for wanting to make the colonies the States and leave off from Britain was to get our Common Law system back. We'd been colonies under the British Empire (itself [an overt franchise](#) of the Roman Empire, which remains a franchise of the Babylonian Kabbalists who appear to have started back in Egypt), and while England allowed a Common Law system, the British Empire denied that to its colonies. We'd wanted the same fair system of justice the People had back at home, developed and refined by applying basic foundational principles in local court case after local court case with common law juries. We established that here in the States, with the Declaration and the Constitution being instruments of Common Law and relying on that for their basis and context. The use of the common law system is exemplified nicely in the opening to the second paragraph of the Declaration. Incrementally via the media, and the Jesuits via their patsy Freemasonic attorneys and judges, this was morphed into a Roman Civil Law system using a plethora of objective-oriented revisions in legal dictionaries, new judicial precedents, gradual and careful supplantation by legislators, and the merging of law and equity cases eventually completed with the 1934 Rules Enabling Act under the influence of the BAR association. Rule two purported to merge Natural Law and Legislative Law, which are completely disparate things. Natural Law governs the People, while legislation was meant only to govern the internal bureaucratic hierarchy of public servants. The result of this atrocity is termed 'Civil Law', in which the People are deemed to be ruled by whatever legislation created by their own public servants; the master-servant relationship has been inverted. Now police routinely and forcibly impose this treasonous system upon a People too ignorant and defrauded to recognize the injustice, violating an untold number of Divinely-conferred rights in the process as a matter general practice; see below. And the attorneys come out of subverted overpriced law schools indoctrinated that both '*The Common Law has been abrogated; we have Roman Civil Law now!*', and '*Common Law is just the accumulation of case precedent handed out by judges!*'. Since courts in the States continue to observe judicial precedent it doesn't seem to have occurred to these young attorneys that their claims can't simultaneously be valid and true, let alone that neither of them is. Even if it has, they're dependent upon acceptance within the legal system to pay off their massive student loan debts, and can hardly afford to confront these matters contrary to the will of the judges. The result has been a system of treason enforced by a collection of strategy, guile, major public fraud and treason, and what amounts to systematized economic hostage-taking.

In conjunction with this, internationally there's been a trend to usurp the fundamental Common Law principle that **rights are Divinely-conferred** ('God-given') with **the public misperception that rights come from the state, the majority or the papacy**. Our miseducational systems and corporate media routinely enforce this nonsense at the general public, claiming that some new piece of legislation or majority victory at the voting booths has caused the government or this or that demographic to have 'gained the right' to do something. Now governments or even corporations are frequently reported to have a 'right' to do something, when this clearly isn't true. Governments have limited delegated authorities from the People, or alternatively lawless authorities they've managed to criminally usurp *from* them. Corporations have only limited, conditional permissions granted by governments, including the

conditional permission to even exist at all ['corporate charters']. Miseducational institutions, reporters, attorneys, legislators, politicians and judiciary consistently misconstrue and misreport these errors to the People, who have typically looked to those sources as authorities to tell them what the laws are. Of course individually or collectively, and frequently both, those perceived 'authority figures' serve the agendas of the apostate system and are in no professional position to buck the trend even if they had an inclination to. This rights-violating lawlessness from the apostate system is compounded by hordes of influencers among the People themselves, as trends such as '**social justice**' [sic] and '**voluntaryism**' as well as no shortage of agenda-motivated rhetoric from the **hyperliberal** crowd attempts to redefine who has what rights, when and how in whatever manner is most amenable to their own interests. By all the available evidence this is a trend which has not yet successfully achieved its full ambitions, which means that until it has been stopped we can infer that even more blatant and drastic systematic violations of Divinely-conferred rights are in the works from the apostate system. I suppose the resulting unfavorable PR doesn't accrue to the apostate system when the People haven't noticed the rights violations, but I rather think that per Divine Will the People deserve to be equipped with the means to do so.

Scriptural Nativity to Christmas

Whether or not you're a Christian, what happened with Christmas ought to concern you precisely *because* it's one instance in a pattern of ideological usurpation. For thousands of years the apostate system has been waging a war on ideas against a People largely either unable to perceive them, and the ideological conquests though intangible have been as effective as you might suppose against an unequipped opponent, with the territory gained and the unconscionable successes equivalent to what so-called 'Israel' has been doing with its occupation against the Palestinians. The idea that Jesus was born in mid-winter, conveniently close to the solstice and (what are the odds) on the birthday of Tammuz and Nimrod, is hardly Scriptural in the first place. At the Nativity, per Scripture the angels were described as having encountered the shepherds out in the fields, tending their flocks, in the middle of the night. Except that in that region the flocks are brought in overnight in the winter. Add to that rather suspicious peculiarity the inundation of candy canes, pine trees, strands of lighting, Santa, reindeer, stockings, gingerbread men, [Krampus] and all the other decidedly non-Scriptural traditions which have been added onto this supposedly 'Christian holiday', and you start to ask yourself what happened. 'Ah!' we think, 'It was actually a pagan holiday, so that accounts for it!'. Does it, though?

Let's take Nicholas of Bari, known as 'Saint' Nicholas. From [this NatGeo article](#), 'The original saint was a Greek born in the late third century, around 280 A.D. He became bishop of Myra, a small Roman town in modern Turkey. Nicholas was neither fat nor jolly but developed a reputation as a fiery, wary, and defiant defender of church doctrine during the Great Persecution in 303, when Bibles were burned and priests made to renounce Christianity or face execution.' We can see then why the Vatican presumed to make him a 'saint', if not why they chose to make him 'the gift-giver to and the patron saint of children'. [That has more to do with the Babylonian Kabbalist symbolism of unsubverted peoples as [children], and the giving of gifts as symbolic of bribery. The Roman Empire was retooling into its new incarnation as Roman Catholicism, since brute force against the legit Christianity that was spreading across the Middle East had only been resulting in some major PR blowback.] From the same article, 'For several hundred years, circa 1200 to 1500, St. Nicholas was the unchallenged bringer of gifts and the toast of celebrations centered around his feast day, December [sixth]. The strict saint took on some aspects of earlier European deities, like the Roman Saturn or the Norse Odin, who appeared as white-bearded men and had magical powers like flight.' Did he, now? Let's review here. Christianity, or at least Roman Catholicism, gets massive and crowds out paganism. By that time practically everyone had converted to supposed Christianity; why all the resurgence of earlier pagan imagery and symbolism?

Because Roman Catholicism has always been a franchise of Babylonian Kabbalism, its organizing symbolism 'layer' 'stacks' with that of the same Babylonian Kabbalists who'd organized using the symbolism and imagery of fairytales and mythology in various cultures, and Roman Catholicism's purpose was to incrementally subvert Christianity back into earlier pagan mythology. Amusingly, we now hear plenty of ideological rhetoric about how, 'Christmas is actually a Pagan holiday; the Christians stole it from the Pagans!'. The exact opposite appears

to be true; that the Babylonian Kabbalists were using stealth paganism's organizing imagery and symbolism in an effort to gradually pull Christians into observing the traditions of their Babylonianism. But the rhetoric conveniently manages to blameshift to Christianity as the culprit for it all, thus diminishing public support for Christianity and increasing it for paganism, as the public increasingly start to look up *'the real facts about Christmas'*. It's fascinating that the imagery of Santa has still been revising over the last two hundred years, mostly among coordinated efforts among the merchants to adopt the new looks, and that the results haven't been getting any *more* Scripturally-based, they and the results have been getting more favorable to paganism! Almost as though there were some kind of strategic, organized campaign *by* pagans to shift the public away from Scripturally-based Christianity and back onto paganism, on a 'holiday' vastly more filled with the frippery of tradition than the content of Scripture, and on a date specific to an ancient Assyrian idol and consort of the primary Babylonian Kabbalist mascot idol, [Inanna]-cum-[Ishtar]. If the Christians don't watch it, they'll presumably have them reverted to outright Babylonian idol worship before too long. Incremental ideological usurpation doesn't happen all at once, and the solution for it is to insist upon the genuine article throughout. Christian readers are directed, all symbolism aside, to [Jeremiah 10] where they are commanded in no uncertain terms to reject the whole thing outright and in very definite terms.

Jesus and Scripture-based Christianity to some militant variant which has little if anything to do with either of them

Very similar to, *'What happened with Christms?'*, is, *'What happened to Christianity?'*. Both Christian and non-Christian readers alike will be able to recognize the phenomenon immediately because it's become so prevalent in our culture. I'm talking about the rampant trend of self-professing 'Christians' to revile and behave with abusiveness and contempt for their fellow man if they dare to hold a different view, to have little or no empathy for the poor and disadvantaged, to cheer on the nationalism and 'nake 'em 'til they glow!' militarism towards miniscule nation-states half a world away who are no genuine threat to us, to completely disregard the Bible until and unless it, or more frequently choice-selected verses from it, can be construed to edify the positions and views which they themselves happen to favor. I'm also talking about the, *'Chuck out the [Scriptural] law, all you need is Jesus and love and then you're Redeemed without actually having to learn Christianity, study what it actually says, or honor Jesus as Lord in practice by living out the rest of it'* crowd. The illogic there is that you're 'saved' because you're 'Christian' because you 'accept Jesus', but that acceptance is predominantly in a sort of abstract, theoretical sense which attempts to use an individual sense of empathy towards mankind to make up for the loss. At that point 'Christianity' as perceived becomes nebulous enough that it can be construed as practically anything by anyone, and what a great accommodation that kind of softening up makes for an apostate system that's had designs on merging all the world's major religions.

As usual this trend didn't form spontaneously overnight. If the 'influencers' are 'script kiddies' it's generally the Jesuits who devise most of the scripts, and those Jesuits are the more knowledgeable, proficient and experienced 'hackers' who've been infiltrating the churches, blackmailing or emplacing their own personnel in the authority and speaking positions, and pulling for incremental doctrinal subversion which has been making the denominations and individual churches increasingly like Rome's, or propagates the sort of baseless, frenzied emotionally-oriented and sensationalistic evangelism that pulls in the crowds but is typically directly counter-Scriptural. Huge masses of people getting pulled into 'Christianity' in name, but quite opposite to it in actual substance. Since legit Christians haven't been able to send impostors misusing the name to anything like 'Religious Jail' for doing it, this kind of low-grade, low-information poseur 'Christianity' has just spread and normalized. For the last thousand years or so it's been socially unthinkable to actually obey the Scriptural directive to stone to literal death those promoting this kind of idolatrous subversion, and it at least began as a phenomenon subtle enough to evade detection by the majority of Christians, so the trend has just continued to spread, intensify and normalize.

While the Jesuits were infiltrating the churches' authority positions and subverting their doctrine, the Knights of Malta (whose authority positions typically work extremely closely with Jesuit operations if [their mutual establishment of fascist Germany](#) is any indication) were presumably having their personnel pull their usual 'download sexual recruiting' hustle,

spreading their non-overt social networks of support within the congregations and within the 'conservative' towns more generally. That kind of thing is usually less feasible to establish evidentially since it tends to stay out of the history and records, being organized more as a matter of direct personal body language and wording, but it's always a little weird showing up to a new Protestant church and having the pastors' underage sons actually strain across the interim area to 'play fiddle' with you. Regardless, where we can't always see records of all the mechanisms involved we can see the incremental results, and we can most definitely scan the lyrics of the hymns for the last few centuries to see the Jesuit and Babylonian Kabbalist usage of them, since both symbolic 'layers' are used even while they purport to proclaim their love for the Christian God. In fact since the organizing symbolism and the situation were less intricate and developed back then, I've found them to be great primers in learning the symbolic lingo, since the same images and symbols keep repeating enough in them to alert the researcher to their existence once they know what they're looking at, and then it's usually just a matter of doing a modern internet search into the connotation of those symbols as relevant to Roman Catholicism, the Roman Empire, Egypt, Babylon or predominant mythology. It's quite recommended for beginners with all this, even non-Christian researchers, because it's become so easy now that we have more of the answers and better information technology. And as data samples they provide some great efficiency in time and effort: they illustrate the existence and nature of the Jesuit-Babylonian 'layers' of organizing symbolism, and they show the presence of their organized efforts to subvert the Christian churches through the last few centuries. The symbols learned through the hymn lyrics have pretty much remained constant and their definitions apply when used in, say, modern news reporting and other media.

I suppose it makes sense; if you're going to have an effort to de-emphasize and dissociate from Scripture, you've got to have *something* there in the schedule to make up the time. Music achieves that, and conditions people to accept and expect religion to be an emotionally-impressive performance of experientialism, rather than actually learning about the Christian system and history. In other words, proto-Roman Catholicism where all is tradition and impressive appearances and experiences, while the various counter-Scriptural doctrines thrown in would make even a hating manufacturer cringe and blush. As we've seen with the rest of these examples, when you've got authority figures, tradition, rhetoric and the 'influencers' contributing peer reinforcement, the cumulative result has generally been enough to cause the average unalert person to accept the ideological usurpations. And it's useful to notice that the more 'layers' of symbolism that apostate system personnel attempt to deploy simultaneously, including the overt 'layer', the more limited their options for choosing symbols become and the more they tend to repeat, making them easier to catch onto when you know what's going on. So I thought it constructive to alert people to it; once they can see the evidence for the subversion, it ceases to be dismissible as a mere conspiracy 'theory' and becomes instead a *known* conspiracy. Those are generally the least effective variety.

Saturday to Sunday

The Sabbath Commandment is practically the only of the Big Ten which is currently widely disputed. Practically nobody argues that the New Testament has made the rest of them obsolete, so what's all the fuss about here? Very simply, the Sabbath Commandment established a 'Mark' of recognition of the authority of the Christian God. There's a great summary of that available [here](#). So when you have a Mark of Divine Will's authority, the apostate system necessarily has to concoct a counterfeit 'mark' of its very own, generally by establishing a competing day for observance. And you can't just pass that off with a single instantaneous usurpation, or the public will reject it vigorously. [*Here guys, here's a Mark of counter-Divine Will authority, shouldn't we all honor it?*] So we had the apostate system's Roman Empire franchise put out a decree for 'a day of rest' in [thirty-two A.D.] via their Emperor Constantine I. It wasn't presented as a direct competitor to the Sabbath *per se*, merely as a day when you were allowed to take off from work. This as we'll see is usually the first part in the apostate system's multi-stage ideological usurpation routine; it's at this point not objectionable or even perceptible as a competitor to the genuine article. It rather just presents itself as a matter of *'the genuine article and something else of an unlike nature'*. Just get the process started, establish the new thing on any convenient pretext; that seems to be the method.

Interestingly the counterfeit day chosen was Sunday, the day traditionally used to worship the [Medo-]Persian, Greek and Roman idol [Mithras], their 'sun god'. And, wouldn't you know it, the ascribed birthday of this 'sun god' *just happened* to be on December [twenty-fifth]! The same day Jesus' Nativity was shifted to by the same Roman Emperor Constantine, in [thirty-six]! Apparently, the idea was to incrementally transition Christianity and Jesus into worship of their 'sun god'.

Time and tradition accumulate, and tend to normalize the newly-assigned day in the public thinking. Augustine of Hippo dutifully put out rhetoric that attempted, successfully, to give the Sabbath Commandment a merely figurative, conceptual interpretation, spiritualizing away something clearly defined and literal into nebulous metaphor.

By means of explanation, let's have a look at what Roman Catholicism itself has said via its own sources. From '[The Convert's Catechism of Catholic Doctrine](#)' [1937], emphasis Mine.

The Third Commandment

[Q:] What is the Third Commandment?

[A:] The Third Commandment is: Remember that thou keep holy the Sabbath day.

[Q:] Which is the Sabbath day?

[A:] S~~at~~urday is the Sabbath day.

[Q:] Why do we observe S~~un~~day instead of S~~at~~urday?

[A:] We observe S~~un~~day instead of S~~at~~urday **because the Catholic Church**, in the Council of Laodicea (A.D. 336), transferred the solemnity from S~~at~~urday to S~~un~~day.

[Q:] Why did the Catholic Church substitute S~~un~~day for S~~at~~urday?

[A:] The Church substituted S~~un~~day for S~~at~~urday, because Christ rose from the de~~ad~~ on a S~~un~~day, and the Holy Ghost descended upon the Apostles on a S~~un~~day.

[Q:] **By what authority did the Church substitute S~~un~~day for S~~at~~urday?**

[A:] The Church substituted S~~un~~day for S~~at~~urday by the plenitude of that divine p~~ow~~er which Jesus Christ bestowed upon her.

[Q:] What does the Third Commandment command?

[A:] The Third Commandment commands us to sanctify S~~un~~day as the Lord's Day.

This begs the question, *'Which 'lord' are we honoring if we accept the redefinition of S~~un~~day as the Sabbath?'* It only works if you've accepted the papacy as the legitimate inheritors of the authority of Jesus. The Christian God and the Bible say one thing, and the papacy says another. If you construe the Sabbath as being on S~~un~~day, you've accepted the professed authority of the papacy and might as well be Roman Catholic. This is merely the *'[appeal to authority](#)'* fallacy, and isn't it fascinating how much ideological usurpation the apostate system has managed to accomplish from that.

It's also fascinating the wide variety of 'non-Catholic' denominations which have since, by all appearances spontaneously, accepted this 'mark of the apostate system' as well. Some of them officially don't even know why they're doing it. Jesuit incremental subversion within churches has been shifting them to become increasingly like Roman Catholicism until the distinction between them has been effectively removed, and one of the major transitions necessarily has to be honoring the day that Rome has established, rather than the Sabbath the Bible

established.

A timely reminder of some of the major prophetic criteria for the 'little horn power' in [Daniel 7:25]:

**'And he [will] speak commands against the most High,
and shall wear out the saints of the most High,
and hope to change Yahweh's calendar and His commandments:'**

A counterfeit 'mark' of authority in competition with the Christian God's authority, yet gaining acceptance by purporting to honor it, satisfies the criteria for the 'Mark of the Beast [system]'. A 'beast' system is one in which people don't apply moral principles, learning and critical reasoning, they just sort of plod along and do what they do because that's what everybody else is doing.

Tellingly, there's a lot of hype going on at present citing all manner of things and reportedly planned things which are or will become 'the Mark of the Beast', usually tangible and blatantly oppressive and objectionable policy implementations. A concerted effort to get the general public to misconstrue this sort of thing will be featured a bit later when we discuss 'troothers'.

We've seen how they've attempted to replace the Christian Sabbath with the honoring of Rome and Babylon's professed authority, and practically every 'Christian' denomination has been shifted onto the counterfeit authority's day of observance. But that doesn't appear to be enough for the apostate system; they need their counterfeit 'mark of authority' recognized by all, and that means getting it into law (or at least what passes for law among a predominantly ignorant citizenry). Since outrage against imposing religious-looking mandates by law would likely be massive and not easy to justify, and they've been working for centuries to marginalize religions in favor of Establishment consensus generated scientific narratives, concocting a secular alibi for it becomes necessary. That way they'll have a 'Sunday' cause their secular 'influencers' can actively cheer on, and their 'influencers' within religions will only compound the support for it.

Hmm, what kinds of secular alibis for imposing Sunday legislation could they possibly use? I suppose [they could start the new stage of their effort in Germany](#) (yet again), where Sunday legislation is already built into their Constitution. And lo! it just so happens that [we're coming up on the seventeenth hundred year anniversary](#) of Emperor Constantine's original Sunday decree, in case a rationalization for the new push is needed. The Vatican's 'influencers' get absolutely yiffy over anniversaries of significant events (at least, those which happen to coincide with their current ambitions), and they hype them as public rhetoric and for their non-overt symbolic coordinating value to their 'script kiddies' on the regular.

But why stop there? If we're going to do secular we might as well [co-align it with the eco-rhetoric](#) too. Heck, we can even get the Zionist counterfeit Jews involved! No need to worry that it's the wrong day to observe and they know it; we can just tell them to keep the Shabbat for religious purposes, and that this one's purely secular! From the article:

*'I am calling for flexible but maximal observance of a weekly day of rest, whether it is Saturday, Sunday, or Friday for different people or in different places. I am **not** calling for more traditionalist observance in general by Jews or Christians, for biblical or rabbinic Shabbat in its "Orthodox" Jewish guise.'*

Gosh, no. Don't want to encourage people to actually research what happened with the Sabbath, now do you?

Friday, Saturday, **or** Sunday. I wonder which of those they'll choose?

Silly Me. They're already calling it variously '[Climate Sunday](#)', '[Zero Carbon Sunday](#)', or the elegant and mellifluous '[ZECASU](#)'.

Those last come from a group called 'WACHO', the '[World Action on Climate and Health Organization](#)'. Their motto is, '**Sustainability for the common good**.' "Sustainable" is a word that Joseph Ratzinger and Jorge Bergoglio (stage names: 'Pope Benedict' and 'Pope Francis') have been going on about for ages now, and "the common good" is a quintessentially Jesuit term, presumably because it can be used as convenient rhetoric to justify practically anything, and to stifle practically anything else up to and including Divinely-conferred rights. All it takes is trusting either they or their 'influencers' to tell you what that 'common good' *is*. Once you've accepted their claim, the obvious conclusion is foregone. That appears to be the same '[Petitio Principii](#)' fallacy their organized crime 'script kiddies' have overused selling their hyperliberalism and 'social justice' rhetoric. It's a fallacy at least as old as Aristotle, and if anyone's familiar with Greek philosophy it's the same Babylonian Kabbalist parent group that had infiltrated and coopted the Greek Empire at the time.

As for "Zero Carbon", we should probably expose the symbolism there. [Carbon] is a [black] substance that's formed of a lot of interlocking [hexagrams]. To a Babylonian Kabbalist, geometric shapes correspond to numerological symbolism via a simple 'count the sides' formula. [Carbon] therefore symbolically describes a lot of counter-Divine Will positions working together to establish something. [Black] is typically the color the Babylonian Kabbalists uses to symbolically depict the designated 'villain' in their 'for public appearances' sake 'heroes and villains' dialectic. However with the eco-agenda, the context they've been using shows practically all their 'influencer' personnel to be on, or temporarily adopt for the purpose, an 'inverted' mode of symbolism. It therefore 'inverts' (or purports to invert) the 'villain' meaning into 'hero', while still keeping the counter-Divine Will symbolism. Translation: A bunch of deliberately-nefarious personnel working together to establish something, namely the appearance of being 'heroes who are working for the common good'.

[Zero], and [circles] for that matter], pull the same manner of numerological symbolism. How many sides does [zero] have? None. It describes a thing with no genuine substance or reality. So useful when you want to depict what amounts to an 'illusion' or massive public fraud by presenting a context or public narrative which has no actual substance. The [inverted line] is a visual derivative symbol whose original source appears to be [Tiamat] (Scriptural equivalent: [Leviathan]), which symbolically describes a **non**-overtly organized counter-Divine Will basis. Convincing the general public is depicted by adding a [rotation] symbol (derived from pagan

rites) or by conceptually *surrounding them* with it until the [c]ircle is complete. If you've ever wondered why your Windows cursor shows this when your system is apparently 'doing nothing', why your browser tabs display the same as a 'loading icon', or why this has been [the trendy new look for 'progress bars' and status indicators](#), now you know.

Incidentally, the same appears to apply to three-dimensional [c]ircles as well. Examples include their 'limited exposure' term 'globalists', which they seem to think 'allows' their 'Disclosure' propagandists to put out whatever bogus narratives they'd like regarding who 'the conspiracy' actually is, and it seems the [s]phere being hyped regarding the "coronavirus" as well.

Before we're done with the adorably-named 'WACHO', let's translate the organizing symbolism in [this innocent-looking tweet](#). [Black] and [white] [s]quares appear, 'growing up' into [c]ubes. [Four]-sided shapes represent personnel, subdivisions or regions which are 'under control' in the symbolic organizing lingo of both Rome and their parent group the Babylonian Kabbalists (ever heard the term 'the four corners of the Earth'? It's the concept of totalitarianism and subjugation.) They each show a part of a larger image, in this case the logo for their 'Zero Carbon Sunday' effort. It's literally (er, symbolically that is) describing those 'influencers' each doing their part to present the eco-narrative and the new push for Sunday. The logo presents within a [c]ircle, and contains the usual [forty-five] degree angles the Freemasons (who are the Jesuits convenient assigned credibility and occasionally patsy franchise) use to announce a counter-Divine Will basis. And let's not forget the [S]un icon there, representing the same [M]ithra 'sun-god' Constantine was venerating from the start!

I'll close this segment with a cite from a December [1893] edition of [The Catholic Mirror](#):

'Reason and common sense demand the acceptance of one or the other of these alternatives; either Protestantism and the keeping of Saturday, or Catholicity and the keeping of Sunday.

Compromise is impossible.'

Just so.

Sheriffs to police

A significant component in our Common Law system is the lawful local law enforcement in the office of the Sheriff. Originating in European Common Law, his office was originally termed the 'Shire Reeve' in landlocked areas, and the 'Port Reeve' in coastal ones (later shortened to the title 'Portreeve'). Important to the office is the idea that he's elected directly *by* the People and accountable to them alone, rather than being selected or appointed by agents of the state. It's his duty to ensure that the God-given rights of his constituents are upheld, and most especially for the few people in his jail awaiting trial by a common law jury of their peers. His office has no significant tax subsidy, weaponry nor manpower, but he is delegated the authority to deputize temporary support from among an armed citizenry at need in the enforcement of the Common Law. This means he's got all the support he needs to uphold rights, but lacks the

means to oppress the citizenry as a taxpayer-subsidized paramilitary force unto himself.

That was it. His lawful federal law enforcement counterpart is office of the U.S. Marshal, established to apprehend criminals who violated the very few legitimate federal crimes that consisted of transgressing against authorities delegated to the federal government via the Constitution. If you were counterfeiting or sabotaging military installations for example, those would cause the U.S. Marshal to come after you to compel you into a federal trial.

Police departments were a fascinating development which came later. It's curious to have two supposed law enforcement agencies in the same area; one of them is clearly superfluous. In [seventeen hundred] while still a colony Philadelphia established the night watch to alert the constable to disorderly conduct, later to become the first U.S. police department. Even after the revolution cities all across the country were establishing their own police departments via municipal legislative fiat, rather than from any lawfully delegated authorities from the People. Since authority to regulate the People in their private capacity was never constitutionally delegated to government via the federal and state Constitutions, this kind of lawless overreach would be perfectly appropriate for regulating the internal bureaucracy of hired public servants, but is completely beyond the pale when imposed upon the People themselves.

Police departments are creatures of the state (compare police 'departments' with sheriffs' 'offices', in Section Eight [here](#)), with Chiefs of Police appointed by and accountable to the Mayor. Their role is to impose the fiat decrees of the legislature upon the People in their private capacity, unlawfully as it happens due to the lack of delegated authority for agents of the state to do so. It matters not how baseless, whimsical, lawless or arbitrary those decrees and edicts are, police will apply the necessary force to impose them as *de facto* law. With the Common Law courts and juries conspicuously absent, concerns about this lawlessness are routed directly to Roman Civil Law courts which return edicts from still other agents of government. This kind of civically irresponsible outsourcing results in something of a closed system of non-accountability, the results of which recent trending news reports about police frequently present, and about which an ignorant People are increasingly outraged. Police impose a foreign and treasonous system of Roman Civil Law in a Common Law country, in effect forcefully making the general public behavior represent the political will of the legislature. This is of course the exact opposite of the government as established and advertised, in which officeholders were meant to be the public servants and representatives of the political will of the People. Additionally, police function as revenue extractors for the state from an unwilling People in the form of fines, fees, and court costs.

Subtracting any presuppositions about police we've all been assigned growing up, and evaluating them purely in terms of what duties they actually fulfill and whom they actually serve, it becomes evident that police are modern paramilitarized versions of the Roman Centurions, imposing the will and decrees of state agents upon a somewhat unwilling and greatly ignorant public. But this is also quite intuitive, to have Roman Centurions present to impose Roman Civil Law. They're simply in the wrong century and, treasonously, in the wrong country as well.

As the People become more familiar with the great and unconscionable disparity between the

Common Law governance they'd established and the Roman Civil Law government they received instead, psychological acceptance is anticipated to subside and with it public support also. The results of that will be largely dependent on whether the People continue to make the error of trying to outsource their civic duty in terms of Common Law courts and juries, law enforcement, knowledge of how rights, law and limited government work, and state militia participation to self-accountable [read: effectively *unaccountable*] '*public servants*'.

It should be mentioned that the Roman Civil Law that police enforce is just a permutation of **Justinian Code**, also termed 'Roman Canon Law', which itself is just one of a plethora of derivatives of top-down authoritarian **Babylonian 'Law'**. Not only is it directly antithetical to our system of Common Law with its penchant for Divinely-conferred rights, the origins of this overt usurpation phenomenon illustrates the organizational identity of 'the usual suspects' for those unfamiliar or not yet convinced. The same kind of evidence can be had from learning and exposing the systems of non-overt organizing symbolism in use by Rome and Babylon, since they're by now practically everywhere, but that kind of learning takes more time and involvement. These overt correlations can be shown to the average person right here and now, and be made immediately recognizable for them.

Remedying your injury to the victim, to 'repaying your debt to society', to having convictions follow you around for the rest of your life

This is another component element to the usurpation of Common Law into Roman Civil Law that many people can easily recognize, and the transition required two different 'stages' to complete.

Common Law says that rights are Divinely-conferred, laws are made to uphold rights, and that 'crime' is what happens when someone violates someone else's rights. Allegations of crimes must be accompanied by sworn affidavits from the accuser, putting them in legal liability if their accusations are found to have been fabricated. A common law jury of, by and for the People decide both the facts of the case, and whether the law applies, as well as determines what the criminal if any must repay in order to remedy their wrongdoing and restore the victim to an equivalent of their healthy state. This typically involves damage amounts in the form of restitution to the victim, because the whole point is **to make the victim whole again**. That's justice.

By contrast, Roman Civil Law maintains that all the authority originates with the state itself, and that anyone with the temerity to violate decrees and edicts made by agents of the state must be fined or jailed as punishment. That's just top-down authoritarianism. Common Law was never particularly big on jailing people, except in extraordinary instances like holding particularly dangerous known criminals and likely flight risks to await trial. The expansive and thoroughly lucrative taxpayer-funded U.S. prison industry is just another oppressive and (Divinely-conferred) rights violating resultant of the apostate system's replacement of Common Law with Roman Civil Law. The U.S. has the largest prison population rate in the world, and more than eighty-five percent of federal convicts are in there for victimless non-crimes; that is, for violating some form of overreaching federal legislation. Half of them are in for drug 'crimes'. It should be remembered that law was meant to govern the People in their private capacity, while the authority for legislation was delegated only *to regulate the internal bureaucracy of public servants*. We have significant numbers of Americans in federal prisons serving out large chunks of their lives, and taxpayers subsidizing that and huge profit margins, all to satisfy the oppressive Roman Civil Law system which is fraud and treason in the first place.

The transition from Common Law's victim restoration principle to **'repaying your debt to society'** can be seen with the latter phrase being used throughout the media in the early to mid [nineteen hundreds]. The ideology being sold to the general public and repeated like a mantra was that rather than compensating the victim of whatever crime, the debt was instead owed to 'society' (as conveniently represented by the aptly-named 'criminal justice system'). So a convicted felon would languish away for years, subsidized by taxpayers and fraternizing with plenty of other convicted felons (resulting in some very peculiar social and psychological conditioning), and having 'served their time' they'd 'repaid their debt to society' and upon release could 'start fresh' with 'a clean slate', and be acknowledged as once again a free and clear productive member of society. Fines and fees were paid not to the victim but to the state, though I can't think why. The agents of the state had successfully replaced themselves as the

middlemen and adjudicators of who was or was not a legitimate and productive member of society, and who was instead flouting 'society's rules', by which of course they'd meant their own decrees.

However in [nineteen sixties-eight] the federal government and most states began using felony convictions as a justification to deny their rights to armament ownership, calling these people 'prohibited persons'. How very peculiar. Their interstitial rhetoric about 'repaying your debt to society' became an empty promise, because now prior felonies whose 'time' had been 'served' could never be truly satisfied, and that ability to 'start fresh' with 'a clean slate' became conspicuously absent. This is all the more peculiar given that armament ownership is recognized as a 'God-given' right, and in the Second Amendment the federal government is explicitly forbidden to violate or 'infringe' against it. (To 'infringe' means to nibble away at the edges of something little by little, until inevitably nothing of it remains). Common Law recognizes that rights are 'God-given' and cannot be lost, only either recognized and upheld or disregarded and violated (which is what 'crime' is), but the counterfeit usurper Roman Civil Law emplaced by the apostate system knows of no such constraints and deems itself the source and determiner of what rights you have, in accordance with the policy decisions of their Babylonian Kabbalist masters. This alone illustrates the apostate system, purely by its own voluntary choice, routinely and systematically violating Divinely-conferred rights and putting itself conclusively 'on the outs' with Divine Will.

Other comparatively minor policies in which 'rights' are denied to released parolees have been implemented, typically on a state to state basis. 'Voting disenfranchisement' is a thing, and though the Supreme Court has ruled that voting 'is a fundamental right', I believe that ruling to be in error. Although *representative government* is a Divinely-conferred right existing within Natural Law, it would seem that voting itself is a mere 'privilege'. This is because governments concocted by People (or indeed by the apostate system) are hypothetical entities, which exist on either a consensual or a *de facto* basis. To 'opt into' citizenship with one is indeed an option but not a necessity, and immigration and naturalization authority was delegated to the federal government by the People via the Constitution. Jury exclusion is yet another such policy, but since [we haven't had lawful courts in at least the last century](#) and the fiat 'courts' lawlessly both misinform and jury-select anyway, that seems to be of negligible concern. Nobody is to the best of My knowledge guaranteed a God-given right to participate in a fraudulent and treasonous criminal scheme purporting to be a court. If they were, it's not readily evident *why*.

Ideological usurpation inferences

From the examples I've presented, we can see that the apostate system use 'influencers' to subvert one established ideology, paradigm, or system into another more to its organizational liking. It doesn't happen instantaneously, but when you're a four to six thousand year-old organization you can afford to take your time rather than risk the general public catching onto it.

The modifications are often incremental, piecemeal and can occur in any government, religion or value system. They generally form in little bits here and there, and the 'influencers' can present as peers, activism groups, merchants' associations, or any group in which large numbers of people are working together ostensibly for a common cause. Perceived authority is frequently used to inspire confidence, whether it's perceived religious authority, government officials, 'learned experts', or more frequently those with what appears to be 'moral superiority' (provided the general public doesn't actually evaluate that too hard). The general scheme and objectives are thought up by the principals, while most of the actual implementation comes from low-level hopefuls trying to 'influence' significantly more than their peers, in order to garner promotions. The general objectives usually have to do with bringing the scenario more into keeping with the values, ambitions and scheme of Rome or the Babylonian Kabbalists, or in removing something that's in the way of doing so. Various elements of those counter-emplacements are bombarded, on various pretexts and with differing rhetoric, until the objectionable item is removed as a cumulative effect. Transitions can be multi-staged, with the ultimate result planned well in advanced and the micro-'influences' performed by comparatively unskilled lackeys, but they add up cumulatively to the desired result. And the 'influencers' nearly always if not always coordinate using a multi-'layered' system of symbolism to organize their efforts.

Perhaps most importantly, the counterfeit replacement products only tend to succeed *when the People at large either do not retain an understanding of, or do not uphold, the original genuine article.*

As we can see with the subversion of American Common Law into Roman Civil Law, or with the Sabbath, there tends to be an emergent pattern:

First, a counterfeit is presented which seems not to be of like kind to whatever it's planned to replace. Few could reasonably argue that it's objectionable, because no attempt to usurp the original seems feasible.

Second, time gives a general sense of familiarity to the usurper product. Generations grow up living with it, and so it *'feels natural'* to them.

Next, through rhetoric or decrees from authority figures, the role of counterfeit is expanded on any number of pretexts.

At this point, the attempt at usurpation begins to be perceptible to some, but the general public

is now comprised of divided camps. If debate and protest of any significance results, the apostate system has the ability to assess the situation and dispense new rhetoric via their 'influencers' to surmount the resistance.

Result: Now support for the usurper product typically attains a majority, and if there are any significant resisters they're easily marginalized using any number of pretexts. Minority positions are easily marginalized as 'off-base', 'disturbingly extremist', or 'of unsound mind'. Case in point, modern advocates for Common Law; the new rhetoric easily convinces the general public to regard them as misinformed, or extremists that represent concerns for public order and potentially even their own personal safety.

Marginalization becomes even easier via 'influencers' placed into the resisters' camp, setting a terrible public example and hopefully getting at least some amount of buy-in from within that camp. [Example: Godawful low-information 'Christian' poseurs who incite the revilement of genuine Christianity from the general public until the majority privately yearn for it to just 'cease existing'.]

As support for the genuine article continues to dwindle away to next to nothing, now it can be quashed altogether. Either via some edict from authority figures, a new batch of rhetoric, or depending on the scenario direct brute force. [The latter has largely been deprecated since the Roman Empire found that suppressing Christianity via direct brute force resulting in some major undesirable PR, but intermediary franchises can presumably be used, so long as the onus doesn't have significant risk of being attributable back to the parent group[s] involved.]

Largely thanks to the apostate system's recent hyperliberalism trend, there's been a renewed sense of public support for victims who've been overpowered, manipulated or taken advantage of while in an impaired condition. Yet this appears to be exactly what the apostate system has been doing to humanity throughout its entire multi-thousand year organizational career; the disparity between the values their low-level 'influencers' overtly profess, and the values actually practiced by the apostate system, is vast indeed. I can't get a complete sense of the lack of *esprit de corps* that must exist for self-identifying apostate system personnel, but when an organization routinely and systematically deploys fraud, subversion and treason against the general public, and will by the available evidence incrementally take anything it likes from entire societies and leave them with paltry and unworthy counterfeits, there isn't doesn't appear much of a legitimate argument to be made for the organization as a whole.

Since their 'influencers' have been presenting as practically any group of people, I trust this piece will help equip the general public to more critically evaluate claims such as authority, self-proclaimed moral superiority, and the rhetoric and narratives being dispensed at them from the media, 'experts', activists, pastors and even their supposed peers.

The rhetoric being dispensed by their 'influencers' is quite intensely agenda-motivated, and this becomes more blatantly obvious when those 'influencers' and their 'influenced' persistently refuse to have mutually-respectful, reason and evidence-based debates with critical thinking applied. They become effectively zealots, an agenda-motivated rampaging mob who could care less for reason, facts and evidence. They just repeat the party line, want what they want, and

will do whatever it takes to accomplish that. That is the furthest thing from civility, and when society as a whole begins to adopt that low standard of behavior we start to lose civilization itself. It's just a matter of waiting for the inevitable results of that choice to make themselves apparent, once the existing infrastructure collapses. When it does, a new generation of people who've grown up their entire lives not knowing civility will have no means of legitimately organizing on a fair, worthy and functional basis, and will be entirely convinced by a new set of 'influencers' and perceived authority figures selling whatever new agenda is in the apostate system's short-term organizational interests.

Unless of course we expose and correct the trend before that happens.

As I ready this part of the exposure piece for publication, the apostate system just had a few of its 'influencers' in the 'Q' contingent "storm the Capitol", as if to illustrate exactly the kind of rampaging mobs and factionalized Latin American 'continual junta' political situation we'll have in the States and elsewhere if nobody sorts out the 'influencer' situation. (Continual that is until the apostate system presents the 'sustainable' political system it's been organizationally planning to emplace, which I'm sure will seem like the solution to all our predicaments... at first.) In a public announcement, Joe Biden stated:

'At this hour our democracy is under unprecedented assault.'

We're a Constitutional Republic, Mr. Biden. Repeated and systematic public indoctrination to the contrary has been apostate system agenda-motivated ideological usurpation rhetoric.

'Unlike anything we've seen in modern times. An assault on the citadel of liberty, the Capitol itself.'

[Liberty] is the word the ignorant and the globalists' personnel use when they want to sound like they mean 'freedom', but still need to keep the distinction clear for their masters. It derives from the Roman idol [Libertas], and 'liberty' refers to permission or license rather than freedom and rights. The term is used as an organizing symbol reference to their Roman idol [Libertas].

'Let me be very clear, the scenes of [chaos] at the Capital do not reflect the true America, do not represent who we are. What we seeing are a small number of extremists, dedicated to lawlessness.'

In this however, the spokesman for the apostate system is quite correct. The extremists are 'influencers' for the apostate system, generally representing their new 'Q' and "Disclosure" contingent. Besides, with the multi-trillion dollar classified military budget it's unthinkable that unplanned and unaffiliated people could just, as the media put it, "[storm] the Capitol building" without various active denial systems preventing that.

Our public offices have been occupied by counter-Divine Will based apostate system national traitors and subverts for quite a while now. The choice to side either with them or with the new, 'Q' contingent of counter-Divine Will based apostate system national traitors and subverts doesn't seem like much of an option, quite honestly. They're both wrong, they're both lawless, and they're both 'extremists'. A counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is always 'extreme', however casual it might appear overtly. The results are inevitably 'lawlessness',

because they've rejected the basis of all rights, law and fairness.

Biden's speech made quite a bit of use of the apostate system's [darkness] symbol. When a thing is in [darkness] its nature remains the same, but that nature is not evident. It's been a common use symbol of the apostate system which effectively translates as, *'This thing, this thing we're doing right here, and now, and whenever, is not representative of our Divinely-conferred True Nature'*. While you'd think that would be self-evident, the implication is generally, *'...and so you should just overlook it.'* The result of that kind of thinking is what's left us with so much systematic apostate system-implemented injustice and unworthiness throughout practically all of recorded civilization that I think it's quite evidently rhetoric that's long past its *'sell by'* date by now. The manifest absence of justice, rights, value and the Divine Will which provides them should be *actively, conclusively attended to*. It's the apostate system which should be metaphorically 'overlooked'; rejected along with the vast majority of injustices throughout civilization which it has caused and continues to cause. A counter-Divine Will basis is never worthy of our time, focus and attention.

In the addition to this exposure piece, which should appear within a week, I plan to expose the apostate system's new 'influencer' crowd: the 'troothers', the [Disclosure] effort and so on, along with its apparent origins, purpose, and some of its personnel and an exposure of some of the major organizing symbolism it uses in its terms.

Social media:

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Discord](#) (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

My exposure site:

[Divine Will Assembly](#)